

ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY IDEALS BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Mohammed Jassim Tuaimah¹, Mohammed Jassim Mohammed²

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological spaces and we prove some results about it.

Keywords

Intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological space; intuitionistic fuzzy ideal and intuitionistic fuzzy local function.



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No.6

editorjam@gmail.com, www.cirjam.com

¹ Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science, Thi-Qar University, Thi-Qar, Iraq

 $^{^{2}}$ Department of Mathematics, College of Education for Pure Science , Thi-Qar University , Thi-Qar , Iraq



1.INTRODUCTION:

The concept of "fuzzy sets" was first introduced by Zadeh [7] in 1965. Atanassov [1] in 1983, initiated the study of an "intuitionistic fuzzy sets" and defined the "interior and closure "over the set of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In 1995, Coker and Demirci [3], constructed the basic concept so called "intuitionistic fuzzy points" and related objects as "quasi-coincidence". Kandil, Nouh and El-Sheikh [5] in 1995, initiated the study of "fuzzybitopological spaces". In 1997, Coker [4] defined the concept of "intuitionistic fuzzy topology". The concept of "fuzzy ideals in fuzzy bitopological space and fuzzy pairwise local function" were first introduced by AbdEl_Monsef, Kozae, Salama and Elagmy [2] in 2012. The notions of "intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy local functions" studied by Salama and Alblowi [6] in 2012.

In this paper we introduce the notion of an "intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological spaces" and study the concept of an "intuitionistic fuzzy ideals, intuitionistic fuzzy local functions in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological spaces" and prove some results about them.

2.PRELIMINARIES:

Definition. 2.1. [7]:

Let X be a non-empty set and I=[0,1] be the closed interval of the real numbers . A fuzzy subset μ of X is defined to be a membership function $\mu:X\to I$, such that $\mu(x)\in I$ for every $x\in X$. The set of all fuzzy subsets of X denoted by I^X .

Definition. 2.2. [1]:

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (*IFS*, for short) A is an object have the form : $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle; x \in X\}$, where the functions $\mu_A \colon X \to I$, $\nu_A \colon X \to I$ denote the degree of membership and the degree of non-membership of each element $x \in X$ to the set A respectively, and $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1$, for each $x \in X$. The set of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X denoted by IFS(X).

Definition. 2.3. [4]:

 $0_{\sim} = \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle$, $1_{\sim} = \langle x, 1, 0 \rangle$ are the intuitionistic fuzzy sets corresponding to empty set and the entire universe respectively.

Definition. 2.4. [3]:

Let X be a non-empty set . An intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP , for short) denoted by $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set have the form : $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \begin{cases} \langle x,\alpha,\beta \rangle & ; & x=y \\ \langle x,0,1 \rangle & ; & x\neq y \end{cases}$, where $x \in X$ is a fixed point , and α , $\beta \in [0,1]$ satisfy $\alpha+\beta \leq 1$. The set of all IFPs denoted by IFP(X) . If $A \in IFS(X)$, we say that $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \mu_A(x)$ and $\beta \geq \nu_A(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

Definition. 2.5. [3]:

Let $A=\langle x,\mu_A(x),\nu_A(x)\rangle$, $B=\langle x,\mu_B(x),\nu_B(x)\rangle$ be two *IFSs* in X and $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}\in IFP(X)$, then:

- (1) A is said to be quasi-coincident with B (written AqB) if there exists an element $x \in X$, such that $\mu_A(x) + \mu_B(x) > 1$ and $\nu_A(x) + \nu_B(x) < 1$. Otherwise A is not quasi-coincident with B and denoted by $A\overline{q}B$.
- (2) We say that A is quasi-coincident with $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$, denoted by $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}qA$ if $\mu_A(x)+\alpha>1$ and $\nu_A(x)+\beta<1$.

Definition.2.6. [4]:

An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT , for short) on a non-empty set X is a family $\mathit{\tau}$ of an intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X such that :

5352 | Page October 27, 20



- (i) 0_{\sim} , $1_{\sim} \in \tau$,
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$, for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$,
- (iii) $\cup G_i \in \tau$, for any arbitrary family $\{G_i : i \in \Gamma\} \subseteq \tau$.

In this case the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS, in short).

Definition. 2.7. [6]:

A non-empty collection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets L of a set X is called intuitionistic fuzzy ideal on X (IFI , for short) such that :

- (i) If $A \in L$ and $B \leq A \Rightarrow B \in L$ (heredity),
- (ii) If $A \in L$ and $B \in L \Rightarrow A \lor B \in L$ (finite additivity).

If (X, τ) be an IFTS, then the triple (X, τ, L) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topological space (IFITS, for short).

Definition. 2.8. [6]:

Let (X, τ, L) be an IFITS . If $A \in \mathit{IFS}(X)$, then the intuitionistic fuzzy local function $A^*(L, \tau)$ (A^* , for short) of A in (X, τ, L) is the union of all intuitionistic fuzzy points $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ such that:

 $A^{\star}(L,\tau) = \bigvee\{x_{(\alpha,\beta)}: A \land U \notin L, for\ every\ U \in N\big(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau\big)\}$, where $N\big(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau\big)$ is the set of all quasi-neighborhoods of an $IFP\ x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in τ . The intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator of an $IFS\ A$ is defined by $cl^{\star}(A) = A \lor A^{\star}$, and $\tau^{\star}(L)$ is an IFT finer than τ generated by $cl^{\star}(.)$ and defined as $\tau^{\star}(L) = \{A: cl^{\star}(A^c) = A^c\}$.

Definition. 2.9. [5]:

A fuzzy bitopological space $(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ (FBTS, for short) is a non-empty set X equipped with two fuzzy topologies \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 .

Definition. 2.10. [2]:

A fuzzy set μ in a $FBTS(X, \mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is called pairwise quasi-neighborhood of a fuzzy point x_t if and only if there exists a \mathcal{T}_i -fuzzy open set ν , $i \in \{1,2\}$ such that x_t q $\nu \leq \mu$. The set of all pairwise quasi-neighborhoods of x_t in \mathcal{T}_i denoted by $PN(x_t, \mathcal{T}_i)$.

Definition. 2.11. [2]:

Let $(X,\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{T}_2)$ be a FBTS and \mathcal{L} be a fuzzy ideal on X, then $(X,\mathcal{T}_1,\mathcal{T}_2,\mathcal{L})$ is called fuzzy ideal bitopological space $(\mathit{FIBTS}\,)$. The pairwise fuzzy local function $P\mu^\star(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{T}_i)$ of a fuzzy set μ is the union of all fuzzy points x_t such that if $\rho \in \mathit{PN}(x_t\,,\mathcal{T}_i)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$, then there is at least one $r \in X$ for which $\rho(r) + \mu(r) - 1 > \gamma(r)$. The pairwise fuzzy closure operator of a fuzzy set μ is defined by $\mathcal{T}_i - cl^\star(\mu) = \mu \vee P\mu^\star(\mathcal{L}\,,\mathcal{T}_i)$ and $\mathcal{T}_i^\star(\mathcal{L})$ is the fuzzy bitopology finer than \mathcal{T}_i generated by $\mathcal{T}_i - cl^\star(.)$ and defined as follows: $\mathcal{T}_i^\star(\mathcal{L}) = \{\mu : \mathcal{T}_i - cl^\star(\mu^c) = \mu^c\}$.

3.SOME RESULTS OF INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY IDEALS BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Definition, 3.1:

Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two intuitionistic fuzzy topologies on a non-empty set X. The triple (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called an "intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological space" (IFBTS , for short), every member of τ_i is called τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy open set $(\tau_i - \mathit{IFOS})$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ and the complement of $\tau_i - \mathit{IFOS}$ is τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy closed set $(\tau_i - \mathit{IFCS})$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.

5353 | Page October 27, 20



Example. 3.2:

```
Let X = \{e, d\} and A, B \in IFS(X) such that : A = \langle x, (0.3,0.1), (0.5,0.6) \rangle, B = \langle x, (0.2,0.4), (0.7,0.3) \rangle.

Let \tau_1 = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, A\} and \tau_2 = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, B\} be two IFTs on X. Then (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) is IFBTS.
```

Definition, 3.3:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an IFBTS , $A \in \mathit{IFS}(X)$ and $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \mathit{IFP}(X)$. Then A is said to be quasi-neighborhood of $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ if there exists a $\tau_i - \mathit{IFOS}$ B, $i \in \{1,2\}$ such that $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}qB \leq A$. The set of all quasi-neighborhoods of $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in (X,τ_1,τ_2) is denoted by $N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau_i)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.

Definition, 3.4:

An intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) with an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal L on X is called "intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space " (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) and denoted by IFIBTS.

Example. 3.5:

```
Let X = \{e\} and A, B \in IFS(X) such that: A = \langle x, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle, B = \langle x, 0.2, 0.4 \rangle. Let (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) be an IFBTS, where \tau_1 = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, A\} and \tau_2 = \{0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim}, B\}. If L = \{0_{\sim}, A, C: C \in IFS(X) \ and \ C \leq A\} be an IFI on X. Then (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, L) is IFIBTS.
```

Definition, 3.6:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be an IFIBTS and $A \in \mathit{IFS}(X)$. Then the "intuitionistic fuzzy local function " of A in (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) denoted by $A^\star(L, \tau_i)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ and defined as follows : $A^\star(L, \tau_i) = V\{x_{(\alpha,\beta)} : A \land U \notin L, for\ every\ U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i)\}$.

Definition, 3.7:

An " intuitionistic fuzzy local function " $A^*(L,\delta)$ having the form : $A^*(L,\delta) = V\{x_{(\alpha,\beta)}: A \land U \notin L, for\ every\ U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\delta)\}$, where $\delta = \tau_1 \lor \tau_2$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy topology generated by τ_1 , τ_2 and $N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\delta)$ is the set of all quasi-neighborhoods of $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ in δ .

Definition. 3.8:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an IFBTS and $A \in \mathit{IFS}(X)$. Then "intuitionistic fuzzy interior" and "intuitionistic fuzzy closure" of A with respect to τ_i , $i \in \{1,2\}$ are defined by : $\tau_i - int(A) = \bigvee \{G : G \text{ is a } \tau_i - \mathit{IFOS}, G \leq A\}$, $\tau_i - cl(A) = \bigwedge \{K : K \text{ is a } \tau_i - \mathit{IFCS}, A \leq K\}$.

Remark. 3.9:

We denote $\delta - int(A)$, $\delta - cl(A)$ the interior and closure of A respectively, with respect to $\delta = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2$.

Proposition. 3.10:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an *IFBTS* and $A \in IFS(X)$. Then we have:

- (i) $\tau_i int(A) \le A$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.
- (ii) $\tau_i int(A)$ is a largest $\tau_i IFOS$ contains in A.
- (iii) A is a $\tau_i IFOS$ if and only if $\tau_i int(A) = A$.
- $(iv) \ \tau_i int(\tau_i int(A)) = \tau_i int(A) \ .$



- (v) $A \le \tau_i cl(A)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.
- (vi) $\tau_i cl(A)$ is a smallest $\tau_i IFCS$ contains A.
- (vii) A is a $\tau_i IFCS$ if and only if $\tau_i cl(A) = A$.
- (viii) $\tau_i cl(\tau_i cl(A)) = \tau_i cl(A)$.
- $(ix) [\tau_i int(A)]^c = \tau_i cl(A^c), i \in \{1,2\}.$
- (x) $[\tau_i cl(A)]^c = \tau_i int(A^c), i \in \{1,2\}.$

Proof: Clearly.

Definition. 3.11:

We define " \star -intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator " for intuitionistic fuzzy bitopology $\tau_i^{\star}(L)$ as follows: $\tau_i - cl^{\star}(A) = A \vee A^{\star}(L, \tau_i)$ for every $A \in IFS(X)$. Also, $\tau_i^{\star}(L)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy bitopology generated by $\tau_i - cl^{\star}(A)$ and defined as: $\tau_i^{\star}(L) = \left\{A : \tau_i - cl^{\star}(A^c) = A^c, i \in \{1,2\}\right\}$.

Note : $\tau_i^*(L)$ finer than intuitionistic fuzzy bitopology τ_i , (i.e. $\tau_i \leq \tau_i^*(L)$).

Remark. 3.12:

- (i) If $L = \{0_{\sim}\} \Rightarrow A^{\star}(L, \tau_i) = \tau_i cl(A)$, for any $A \in IFS(X)$
- $\Rightarrow \tau_i cl^{\star}(A) = A \vee A^{\star}(L, \tau_i) = A \vee \tau_i cl(A) = \tau_i cl(A) \Rightarrow \tau_i^{\star}(\{0_{\sim}\}) = \tau_i \ , \ i \in \{1,2\} \, .$
- (ii) If $L = IFS(X) \Rightarrow A^*(L, \tau_i) = 0_{\sim}$, for any $A \in IFS(X) \Rightarrow \tau_i cl^*(A) = A \vee A^*(L, \tau_i) = A \vee 0_{\sim} = A$
- $\Rightarrow \tau_i^*(L)$ is the intuitionistic fuzzy discrete bitopology on X.

Theorem. 3.13:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an *IFBTS* and L, J be two *IFIs* on X. Then for any A, $B \in IFS(X)$, we have:

- (i) If $A \le B \Rightarrow A^*(L, \tau_i) \le B^*(L, \tau_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (ii) If $L \leq J \Rightarrow A^*(J, \tau_i) \leq A^*(L, \tau_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (iii) $A^*(L, \tau_i) = \tau_i cl(A^*) \le \tau_i cl(A), i \in \{1, 2\}.$
- (iv) $A^{**}(L, \tau_i) \leq A^{*}(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}.$
- $(v) (A \vee B)^*(L, \tau_i) = A^*(L, \tau_i) \vee B^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}.$
- $(vi) (A \wedge B)^*(L, \tau_i) = A^*(L, \tau_i) \wedge B^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}.$
- $(vii) \ \ \text{If} \ \ B \in L \ \Rightarrow \ (A \vee B)^{\star}(L \, , \tau_i) = A^{\star}(L \, , \tau_i) \, , \ i \in \{1,2\} \, .$

Proof:

(i) Let $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L,\tau_i) \Rightarrow A \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau_i)$

Since $A \leq B \Rightarrow B \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i\right) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B^\star(L, \tau_i)$. Therefore $A^\star(L, \tau_i) \leq B^\star(L, \tau_i)$.

(ii) Let $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(J,\tau_i) \Rightarrow A \land U \notin J$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau_i)$

Since $L \leq J \Rightarrow A \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L, \tau_i)$. Thus $A^*(J, \tau_i) \leq A^*(L, \tau_i)$.

(iii) Since $\{0_{\sim}\} \leq L$, for every IFI on $X \Rightarrow$ from (ii) and (Remark. 3.12:), we get:

 $A^*(L, \tau_i) \leq A^*(\{0_\sim\}, \tau_i) = \tau_i - cl(A)$, for every $A \in IFS(X) \Rightarrow A^*(L, \tau_i) \leq \tau_i - cl(A)$.

Now, we will prove that: $A^{\star}(L, \tau_i) = \tau_i - cl(A^{\star})$.

- $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Suppose that } x_{1(\alpha,\beta)} \in \tau_i cl(A^\star) \ \Rightarrow \ A^\star \wedge U \neq 0_{\sim} \text{, for every } \ U \in N\left(x_{1(\alpha,\beta)},\tau_i\right)$
- \Rightarrow there exists $x_{2(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^* \land U$, such that $A \land V \notin L$, for every $V \in N\left(x_{2(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i\right)$

Since $U \wedge V \in N\left(x_{2(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i\right) \Rightarrow A \wedge (U \wedge V) \notin L \Rightarrow A \wedge U \notin L$, for every $U \in N\left(x_{1(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i\right)$



- $\Rightarrow x_{1(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L,\tau_i)$. Hence $\tau_i cl(A^*) \leq A^*(L,\tau_i) \dots \dots (1)$
- (\Rightarrow) From (Proposition. 3.10:(v)), we get: $A^*(L, \tau_i) \le \tau_i cl(A^*) \dots \dots (2)$
- \Rightarrow From (1) and (2), we have : $A^*(L, \tau_i) = \tau_i cl(A^*)$.
- $(\textit{iv}) \; \mathsf{From} \; (\textit{iii}) \; , \; \mathsf{we} \; \; \mathsf{get} \; : \; A^{\star\star}(L \, , \tau_i) = \tau_i cl(A^{\star\star}) \leq \tau_i cl(A^{\star\star}) = A^{\star}(L \, , \tau_i) \; \Rightarrow \; A^{\star\star}(L \, , \tau_i) \leq A^{\star}(L \, , \tau_i) \; .$
- $(v) \ (\Rightarrow) \ \text{Suppose that} \ \ x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in (A \lor B)^{\star}(L \ , \tau_i) \ \Rightarrow \ (A \lor B) \land U \not\in L \ , \ \text{for every} \ \ U \in N \big(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i \big)$
- $\Rightarrow (A \land U) \lor (B \land U) \notin L \ \Rightarrow \ A \land U \notin L \ \text{ and } \ B \land U \in L \ \text{ or } \ A \land U \in L \ \text{ and } \ B \land U \notin L$
- $\Rightarrow A \land U \notin L$ or $B \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i)$
- $\Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \quad \text{or} \quad x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \\ \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \lor B^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \\ \Rightarrow (A \lor B)^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \le A^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \lor B^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \dots \dots (1)$
- $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Since } A \leq A \vee B \quad \text{and } B \leq A \vee B \ \Rightarrow \text{ From } (i), \text{ we get } : A^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \leq (A \vee B)^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \quad \text{and} \quad B^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \leq (A \vee B)^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \leq (A \vee B)^{\star}$
- $\Rightarrow A^{\star}(L,\tau_i) \vee B^{\star}(L,\tau_i) \leq (A \vee B)^{\star}(L,\tau_i) \dots \dots (2)$
- \Rightarrow From (1) and (2), we get : $(A \lor B)^*(L, \tau_i) = A^*(L, \tau_i) \lor B^*(L, \tau_i)$.
- (vi) (\Rightarrow) Since $A \land B \leq A$ and $A \land B \leq B \Rightarrow$ from (i), we get : $(A \land B)^*(L, \tau_i) \leq A^*(L, \tau_i)$ and $(A \land B)^*(L, \tau_i) \leq B^*(L, \tau_i)$
- $\Rightarrow (A \land B)^{\star}(L, \tau_i) \leq A^{\star}(L, \tau_i) \land B^{\star}(L, \tau_i) \dots \dots (1)$
- $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Suppose that } x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \wedge B^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \ \Rightarrow \ x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i) \ \text{ and } \ x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B^{\star}(L\,,\tau_i)$
- \Rightarrow $A \land U \notin L$ and $B \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i) \Rightarrow (A \land U) \land (B \land U) \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i)$
- \Rightarrow $(A \land B) \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in (A \land B)^*(L, \tau_i)$
- $\Rightarrow A^{\star}(L,\tau_i) \wedge B^{\star}(L,\tau_i) \leq (A \wedge B)^{\star}(L,\tau_i) \dots \dots (2)$
- \Rightarrow From (1) and (2), we get: $(A \land B)^*(L, \tau_i) = A^*(L, \tau_i) \land B^*(L, \tau_i)$
- (vii) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in (A \lor B)^*(L,\tau_i) \Rightarrow \text{from } (v)$, we have $: x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L,\tau_i) \lor B^*(L,\tau_i)$
- $\Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L,\tau_i) \text{ or } x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B^*(L,\tau_i)$
- If $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L,\tau_i) \Rightarrow (A \vee B)^*(L,\tau_i) \leq A^*(L,\tau_i) \dots \dots (*_1)$
- If $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B^*(L,\tau_i) \Rightarrow B \wedge U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau_i)$
- Since $B \in L$, and $B \wedge U \notin L \Rightarrow U \notin L \Rightarrow A \wedge U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L, \tau_i)$
- $\Rightarrow (A \lor B)^*(L, \tau_i) \le A^*(L, \tau_i) \dots (*_2)$
- (\Leftarrow) Since $A \leq A \vee B \Rightarrow$ from (i), we have $: A^*(L, \tau_i) \leq (A \vee B)^*(L, \tau_i) \dots (**)$
- \Rightarrow From $(*_1)$, $(*_2)$ and (**), we get: $(A \lor B)^*(L, \tau_i) = A^*(L, \tau_i)$.

Theorem. 3.14:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be an IFIBTS , $A, B \in \mathit{IFS}(X)$ and $\delta = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy topology generated by τ_1 , τ_2 . Then we have :

- (i) $A^*(L, \delta) \leq A^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}.$
- (ii) If $A \leq B \Rightarrow A^*(L, \delta) \leq B^*(L, \tau_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (iii) $A^*(L, \delta) \leq \delta cl(A) \leq \tau_i cl(A), i \in \{1, 2\}.$
- (iv) $A^{**}(L, \delta) \leq A^{*}(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}.$

Proof:

- (i) Let $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^*(L,\tau_i) \Rightarrow$ there exists $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau_i)$ such that $A \land U \in L$
- Since $\tau_i \le \delta = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 \Rightarrow N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i) \le N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \delta)$
- $\Rightarrow U \in N(\chi_{(\alpha,\beta)},\delta) \text{ and } A \land U \in L \Rightarrow \chi_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^*(L,\delta) \Rightarrow A^*(L,\delta) \leq A^*(L,\tau_i).$
- (ii) Let $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L,\delta) \Rightarrow A \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\delta)$
- Since $A \leq B \Rightarrow B \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \delta) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B^*(L, \delta) \Rightarrow A^*(L, \delta) \leq B^*(L, \delta)$



```
\Rightarrow from (i), we get: B^*(L, \delta) \leq B^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}. Therefore A^*(L, \delta) \leq B^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}.
```

(iii) From (Theorem. 3.13:(iii)), we have : $A^{\star}(L,\delta) \leq \delta - cl(A)$

Since
$$\tau_i \leq \delta = \tau_1 \vee \tau_2 \Rightarrow \delta - cl(A) \leq \tau_i - cl(A)$$
. Hence $A^*(L, \delta) \leq \delta - cl(A) \leq \tau_i - cl(A)$

(iv) From (Theorem. 3.13:(iv)), we get: $A^{\star\star}(L,\delta) \leq A^{\star}(L,\delta)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ from } (i) \text{ , we have } : \ A^\star(L \,, \delta) \leq A^\star(L \,, \tau_i) \,, i \in \{1,2\} \,. \text{ Thus } \ A^{\star\star}(L \,, \delta) \leq A^\star(L \,, \tau_i) \,\,, \,\, i \in \{1,2\} \,.$$

Lemma. 3.15:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be an IFIBTS , $A \in \mathit{IFS}(X)$. Then we have : $\tau_i - \mathit{cl}^\star(A) \leq \tau_i - \mathit{cl}(A)$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof:

From (Theorem.3.13: (iii)), we get:
$$A^{\star}(L, \tau_i) \leq \tau_i - cl(A) \Rightarrow A \vee A^{\star}(L, \tau_i) \leq A \vee \tau_i - cl(A)$$

Since
$$A \le \tau_i - cl(A) \Rightarrow A \lor \tau_i - cl(A) = \tau_i - cl(A) \Rightarrow A \lor A^*(L, \tau_i) \le \tau_i - cl(A)$$

Since
$$\tau_i - cl^*(A) = A \vee A^*(L, \tau_i) \Rightarrow \tau_i - cl^*(A) \leq \tau_i - cl(A)$$
.

Theorem. 3.16:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be an *IFIBTS*, $A \in IFS(X)$ and $\tau_1 \le \tau_2$. Then:

- (i) $A^*(L, \tau_2) \le A^*(L, \tau_1)$.
- (ii) $\tau_1^*(L) \le \tau_2^*(L)$.
- (iii) $A^*(L, \tau_1^*(L)) \leq A^*(L, \tau_2^*(L))$.

Proof:

(i) Suppose that $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^*(L,\tau_1) \Rightarrow$ there exists $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)},\tau_1)$ such that $A \land U \in L$.

Since $\tau_1 \le \tau_2 \Rightarrow U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_2)$

Now, $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_2)$ and $A \wedge U \in L \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^*(L, \tau_2)$. Therefore $A^*(L, \tau_2) \leq A^*(L, \tau_1)$.

(ii) Let $A \in \tau_1^*(L) \Rightarrow$ from (Definition. 3.11:), we get: $\tau_1 - cl^*(A^c) = A^c \Rightarrow A^c \lor (A^c)^*(L, \tau_1) = A^c$

Now, $\tau_2 - cl^*(A^c) = A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L, \tau_2)$

 \Rightarrow From (i), we have: $A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L, \tau_2) \leq A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L, \tau_1) = A^c \Rightarrow \tau_2 - cl^*(A^c) \leq A^c \dots \dots (1)$

Since $A^c \le \tau_2 - cl^*(A^c) \dots (2)$

 \Rightarrow From (1) and (2), we get: $\tau_2 - cl^*(A^c) = A^c \Rightarrow A \in \tau_2^*(L)$. Thus $\tau_1^*(L) \le \tau_2^*(L)$.

(iii) Suppose that $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L, \tau_1^*(L)) \Rightarrow A \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_1^*(L))$

 \Rightarrow From (ii), we have: $U \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_2^*(L)\right) \Rightarrow A \land U \notin L$, for every $U \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_2^*(L)\right) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*\left(L, \tau_2^*(L)\right)$

 $\Rightarrow A^*(L, \tau_1^*(L)) \leq A^*(L, \tau_2^*(L))$.

Theorem. 3.17:

Let L_1 and L_2 be two *IFIs* on *IFBTS* (X, τ_1, τ_2) . Then we have:

- (i) If $L_1 \leq L_2 \Rightarrow \tau_i^*(L_1) \leq \tau_i^*(L_2)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.
- $(ii)\ A^{\star}(L_{1}\wedge L_{2}\,,\tau_{i})=A^{\star}(L_{1}\,,\tau_{i})\vee A^{\star}(L_{2}\,,\tau_{i})\,,\ i\in\{1,2\}\,.$
- $(iii)\ A^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2 \,, \tau_i) = A^{\star}(L_1 \,, \tau_i) \wedge A^{\star}(L_2 \,, \tau_i) \,, \ i \in \{1, 2\} \,.$
- (iv) $\tau_i^*(L_1 \wedge L_2) = \tau_i^*(L_1) \wedge \tau_i^*(L_2)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.
- $(v) \ \tau_i{}^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2) = \tau_i{}^{\star}(L_1) \vee \tau_i{}^{\star}(L_2) \ , \ i \in \{1,2\}.$



Proof:

```
(i) Suppose that A \in \tau_i^*(L_1) \Rightarrow from (Definition. 3.11:), we have : \tau_i - cl^*(A^c) = A^c
\Rightarrow A^c \vee (A^c)^{\star}(L_1\,,\tau_i) = A^c \ \Rightarrow \ (A^c)^{\star}(L_1\,,\tau_i) \leq A^c
Since L_1 \le L_2 \Rightarrow from (Theorem. 3.13: (ii)), we get : (A^c)^*(L_2, \tau_i) \le (A^c)^*(L_1, \tau_i)
\Rightarrow (A^c)^{\star}(L_2\,,\tau_{\,i}) \leq A^c \ \Rightarrow \ A^c \vee (A^c)^{\star}(L_2\,,\tau_{\,i}) = A^c \ \Rightarrow \ A \in \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_2) \,. \, \text{Thus} \quad \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1) \leq \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_2) \,.
(ii)(\Rightarrow) Let x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_1 \wedge L_2, \tau_i) \Rightarrow A \wedge U \notin (L_1 \wedge L_2), for every U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i)
\Rightarrow A \land U \notin L_1 or A \land U \notin L_2, for every U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i)
\Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_1, \tau_i) \text{ or } x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_2, \tau_i) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_1, \tau_i) \vee A^*(L_2, \tau_i)
A^{\star}(L_1 \wedge L_2, \tau_i) \leq A^{\star}(L_1, \tau_i) \vee A^{\star}(L_2, \tau_i) \dots \dots (1)
(\Leftarrow) Since L_1 \land L_2 \le L_1 and L_1 \land L_2 \le L_2 \Rightarrow From(Theorem. 3.13: (ii)), we have:
A^{\star}(L_{1},\tau_{i}) \leq A^{\star}(L_{1} \wedge L_{2},\tau_{i}) \text{ and } A^{\star}(L_{2},\tau_{i}) \leq A^{\star}(L_{1} \wedge L_{2},\tau_{i}) \Rightarrow A^{\star}(L_{1},\tau_{i}) \vee A^{\star}(L_{2},\tau_{i}) \leq A^{\star}(L_{1} \wedge L_{2},\tau_{i}) \dots \dots (2)
\Rightarrow From (1) and (2) \Rightarrow A^*(L_1 \land L_2, \tau_i) = A^*(L_1, \tau_i) \lor A^*(L_2, \tau_i).
(iii)(\Rightarrow) Since L_1 \le L_1 \lor L_2 and L_2 \le L_1 \lor L_2
\Rightarrow \operatorname{From}\left(\operatorname{Theorem.} 3.13:(ii)\right), \text{ we have }: A^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2 , \tau_i) \leq A^{\star}(L_1 , \tau_i) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2 , \tau_i) \leq A^{\star}(L_2 , \tau_i).
\Rightarrow A^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) \leq A^*(L_1, \tau_i) \wedge A^*(L_2, \tau_i) \dots \dots (1)
(\Leftarrow) Suppose that x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_1,\tau_i) \land A^*(L_2,\tau_i) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_1,\tau_i) and x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_2,\tau_i)
\Rightarrow A \land U \notin L_1 and A \land U \notin L_2, for every U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i)
\Rightarrow A \land U \notin (L_1 \lor L_2), for every U \in N(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i) \Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A^*(L_1 \lor L_2, \tau_i)
\Rightarrow A^{\star}(L_1, \tau_i) \wedge A^{\star}(L_2, \tau_i) \leq A^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) \dots \dots (2)
\Rightarrow From (1) and (2) \Rightarrow A^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) = A^*(L_1, \tau_i) \wedge A^*(L_2, \tau_i).
 (iv) \ \mathsf{Since} \quad L_1 \land L_2 \leq L_1 \quad \text{ and } \quad L_1 \land L_2 \leq L_2 \ \Rightarrow \ \mathsf{from} \ (i) \ , \ \mathsf{we} \ \ \mathsf{get} \ : \ \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1 \land L_2) \leq \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1) \quad \mathsf{and} \quad \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1 \land L_2) \leq \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_2) 
\Rightarrow \tau_i^*(L_1 \wedge L_2) \leq \tau_i^*(L_1) \wedge \tau_i^*(L_2) \dots \dots (1)
(\Leftarrow) Suppose that A \in [\tau_i^*(L_1) \land \tau_i^*(L_2)] \Rightarrow A \in \tau_i^*(L_1) and A \in \tau_i^*(L_2)
\Rightarrow From ( Definition. 3.11: ), we get : A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_1, \tau_i) = A^c and A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_2, \tau_i) = A^c
\Rightarrow [A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_1, \tau_i)] \vee [A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_2, \tau_i)] = A^c
\Rightarrow A^c \vee [(A^c)^*(L_1 \wedge \tau_i) \vee (A^c)^*(L_2 \wedge \tau_i)] = A^c \Rightarrow \text{from } (ii) \text{ , we get } : A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_1 \wedge L_2 \wedge \tau_i) = A^c \Rightarrow A \in \tau_i^*(L_1 \wedge L_2) \dots \dots (2)
\Rightarrow From (1) and (2), we get: \tau_i^*(L_1 \wedge L_2) = \tau_i^*(L_1) \wedge \tau_i^*(L_2).
(v) (\Rightarrow) Suppose that A \in \tau_i^*(L_1 \vee L_2) \Rightarrow \text{From (Definition.3.11:)}, we get : A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) = A^c
\Rightarrow From (iii), we have : A^c \vee [(A^c)^*(L_1, \tau_i) \wedge (A^c)^*(L_2, \tau_i)] = A^c
\Rightarrow [A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_1, \tau_i)] \wedge [A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_2, \tau_i)] = A^c \Rightarrow A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_1, \tau_i) = A^c \text{ and } A^c \vee (A^c)^*(L_2, \tau_i) = A^c \wedge (A^c)^*(L_1, \tau_i) = A^c \wedge (A^c)^*(L_1
\Rightarrow From ( Definition. 3.11: ), we get : A \in \tau_i^*(L_1) and A \in \tau_i^*(L_2)
\Rightarrow A \in [\tau_i^{\star}(L_1) \vee \tau_i^{\star}(L_2)] \Rightarrow \tau_i^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2) \leq \tau_i^{\star}(L_1) \vee \tau_i^{\star}(L_2) \dots \dots (1)
(\Leftarrow) \text{ Since } L_1 \leq L_1 \vee L_2 \quad \text{and} \quad L_2 \leq L_1 \vee L_2 \quad \Rightarrow \text{ from } (i) \text{ , we get } : \quad \tau_i^{\;\star}(L_1) \leq \tau_i^{\;\star}(L_1 \vee L_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_i^{\;\star}(L_2) \leq \tau_i^{\;\star}(L_1 \vee L_2)
```

 $\Rightarrow \tau_i^*(L_1) \vee \tau_i^*(L_2) \leq \tau_i^*(L_1 \vee L_2) \dots \dots (2) \Rightarrow \text{ from (1) and (2)}, \text{ we have } : \tau_i^*(L_1 \vee L_2) = \tau_i^*(L_1) \vee \tau_i^*(L_2).$

Theorem. 3.18:

- $(i) \ A^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2 \,, \tau_i) = A^{\star}\big(L_1 \,, \tau_i^{\,\,\star}(L_1)\big) \wedge A^{\star}\big(L_2 \,, \tau_i^{\,\,\star}(L_2)\big) \,, \ i \in \{1,2\} \,.$
- (ii) $A^*(L_1 \wedge L_2, \tau_i) = A^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_1)) \vee A^*(L_2, \tau_i^*(L_2)), i \in \{1,2\}.$
- (iii) $\tau_i^*(L_1 \vee L_2) = \tau_i^{**}(L_1) \wedge \tau_i^{**}(L_2), i \in \{1,2\}.$
- (iv) $\tau_i^*(L_1 \wedge L_2) = \tau_i^{**}(L_1) \vee \tau_i^{**}(L_2)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$.



Proof:

$$(i) \ (\Rightarrow) \ \ \mathsf{Let} \quad x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin \left[A^\star \left(L_1 \,, \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1) \right) \wedge A^\star \left(L_2 \,, \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_2) \right) \right] \ \Rightarrow \ \ x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^\star \left(L_1 \,, \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1) \right) \quad \mathsf{or} \quad x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^\star \left(L_2 \,, \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_2) \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $A \land U_1 \in L_1$, for some $U_1 \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i^*(L_1)\right)$ or $A \land U_2 \in L_2$, for some $U_2 \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i^*(L_2)\right)$

$$\Rightarrow (A \land U_1) \lor (A \land U_2) \in (L_1 \lor L_2) \Rightarrow A \land (U_1 \lor U_2) \in (L_1 \lor L_2)$$

Since
$$U_1 \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i^*(L_1)\right)$$
 and $\tau_i \leq \tau_i^*(L_1) \Rightarrow U_1 \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i\right)$

Since
$$U_2 \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i^*(L_2)\right)$$
 and $\tau_i \leq \tau_i^*(L_2) \Rightarrow U_2 \in N\left(x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i\right)$

$$\Rightarrow (U_1 \vee U_2) \in N(\chi_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i) \Rightarrow A \wedge (U_1 \vee U_2) \in (L_1 \vee L_2)$$
, for some $(U_1 \vee U_2) \in N(\chi_{(\alpha,\beta)}, \tau_i)$

$$\Rightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) \Rightarrow A^{\star}(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) \leq A^{\star}(L_1, \tau_i^{\star}(L_1)) \wedge A^{\star}(L_2, \tau_i^{\star}(L_2)) \dots \dots (1)$$

$$(\Leftarrow)$$
 From (Definition.3.11:), we have : $\tau_i \le \tau_i^*(L_1)$ and $\tau_i \le \tau_i^*(L_2)$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ From (Theorem.3.16:(i)), we get } : A^\star \left(L_1 \,, \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1)\right) \leq A^\star (L_1 \,, \tau_i) \text{ and } A^\star \left(L_2 \,, \tau_i^{\,\star}(L_2)\right) \leq A^\star (L_2 \,, \tau_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{\star}(L_1, \tau_i^{\star}(L_1)) \wedge A^{\star}(L_2, \tau_i^{\star}(L_2)) \leq A^{\star}(L_1, \tau_i) \wedge A^{\star}(L_2, \tau_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ From (Theorem.3.17: (iii)), we have } : A^{\star}\big(L_1\,,\tau_i^{\,\star}(L_1)\big) \wedge A^{\star}\big(L_2\,,\tau_i^{\,\star}(L_2)\big) \leq A^{\star}(L_1\,\vee\,L_2\,,\tau_i)\,...\,...\,(2)$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 From (1) and (2), we get: $A^*(L_1 \vee L_2, \tau_i) = A^*(L_1, \tau_i^*(L_1)) \wedge A^*(L_2, \tau_i^*(L_2))$.

(ii) Similarly as (i).

(iii) By using (i), we get:
$$\tau_i^*(L_1 \vee L_2) = \tau_i^{**}(L_1) \wedge \tau_i^{**}(L_2)$$
.

$$(iv) \text{ By using } (ii) \text{ , we get } : \ \tau_i{}^\star(L_1 \wedge L_2) = \tau_i{}^{\star\star}(L_1) \vee \tau_i{}^{\star\star}(L_2) \ .$$

Corollary. 3.19:

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be an *IFIBTS*. Then we have:

(i)
$$A^*(L, \tau_i) = A^*(L, \tau_i^*(L)), i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

(ii)
$$\tau_i^*(L) = \tau_i^{**}(L)$$
, $i \in \{1,2\}$.

Proof:

By taking $L_1=L_2=L$ in above Theorem , we have the required result .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

Authors would like to thank the referee for his comments and suggestions to have the manuscript done perfectly and for assistance in responding to concerns and questions regarding my paper.

REFERENCES:

- [1] K. Atanassov; Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 20, 87-96.(1986).
- [2] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, A. Kozae, A.A. Salama and H.M. Elagmy; Fuzzy Bitopological Ideals Spaces, Journal of Computer Engineering, ISSN. 2278-0661, ISBN 2278-8727, Vol. 6, ISSUE 4, 1-5. (2012).
- [3] D. Coker and M. Demirci; On Intuitionistic Fuzzy Points . NIFSI . 2, 79-84 . (1995) .
- [4] D. Coker; An Introduction to Intuitionistic Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 88, 81-89. (1997).
- [5] A. Kandil, A. A. Nouh and S. A. El-Sheikh; On Fuzzy Bitopological Spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 74, 353-363. (1995).
- [6] A. A. Salama and S. A. Alblowi ; Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideals Topological Spaaces, ISSN. 0973-533X. Vol. 7, No. 1. 51-60. (2012).
- [7] L.A.Zadeh; Fuzzy Sets Information and Control.8, 338-353. (1965).