



## Some Properties of Certain subclass of Meromorphically Multivalent Functions Defined by Convolution and Integral Operator involving I-Function

Waggas Galib Atshan and Raghda Naser Abdul-Hussien

Department of Mathematics, College of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Al-Qadisiya, Diwaniya, Iraq

waggashnd@gmail.com, raghda.jr@gmail.com

**Abstract:** In the present paper, we introduce a certain subclass of meromorphic functions  $J_p^{\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu)$ . We obtain some results, like, Coefficient inequality, Modified Hadamard Product, Integral means and Inclusion properties for this class.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45, 30C50.

**Keywords:** Meromorphic p-valent function; Starlike function; I-function; Convolution; Integral operator.



## Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol.10, No.6

[www.cirjam.com](http://www.cirjam.com) , [editorjam@gmail.com](mailto:editorjam@gmail.com)



## 1. INTRODUCTION:

Let  $\mathcal{R}_p^*$  denote the class of functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} z^{n-p}, \quad (p \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

which are meromorphic multivalent in the punctured unit disk  $U^* = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . Consider a subclass  $I_p^*$  of the class  $\mathcal{R}_p^*$  consisting of function of the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} z^{n-p} \quad (a_{n-p} \geq 0), \quad (1.2)$$

The Hadamard product of two functions,  $f$  is given by (1.2) and

$$g(z) = z^{-p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n-p} z^{n-p}, \quad (b_{n-p} \geq 0). \quad (1.3)$$

is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} = (g * f)(z).$$

The I-function which was introduced by Saxena [14] is an extension of Fox's H-function. On specializing the parameters, I-function can be reduced to almost all the known as well as unknown special function.

The definition of I-functions given by Saxena [14] is as follows:

$$I(z) = I_{p_1, q_1; r}^{m, n}[z] = I_{p_1, q_1; r}^{m, n} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n} & (a_j, \alpha_j)_{n+1, p_1} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m} & (b_j, \beta_j)_{m+1, q_1} \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c t(s) z^s ds,$$

where

$$t(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left\{ \prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - b_j + \beta_j s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(a_{ji} - \alpha_{ji} s) \right\}}$$

$p_i (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r), q_i (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r), m, n$  are integers satisfying  $0 \leq n \leq p_i, 0 \leq m \leq q_i (i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r), r$  is finite  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \alpha_{ij}, \beta_{ij}$  are real and positive and  $a_i, b_i, a_{ij}, b_{ij}$  are complex numbers such that

$$\alpha_j (b_h + v) \neq \beta_j (a_h - 1 - k).$$

With all necessary conditions for existence as given by Saxena [14]. If the integral operator of  $f \in \mathcal{R}_p^*$  for  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  is denoted by

$I_p^{\alpha, \beta}$  and defined as following:

$$I_p^{\alpha, \beta} f(z) = \frac{z^{\beta-p}}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta) I_{p_1^{\alpha+1}, q_1^{\alpha+1}; r}^{m, n+1}[z]} \int_0^z t^{p-\alpha} (z-t)^{\alpha-\beta-1} I_{p_1^{\alpha+1}, q_1^{\alpha+1}; r}^{m, n}[t] f(t) dt, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$I_{p_1^{\alpha+1}, q_1^{\alpha+1}; r}^{m, n+1}[z] = I_{p_1^{\alpha+1}, q_1^{\alpha+1}; r}^{m, n+1} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (\alpha, 1) & (a_j, \alpha_j) & (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}) \\ (b_j, \beta_j) & (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}) & (\beta, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]$$

valid when

$$\left( \operatorname{Re}(b_j) < \operatorname{Re}(a_j) < 1 + \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{b_j}{\beta_j} \right) \right).$$

Then  $I_p^{\alpha, \beta} f(z)$  can be expressed for



$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} z^{n-p},$$

as given below,

$$I_p^{\alpha, \beta} f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{I_{p_1^{\pm 1}, q_1^{\pm 1}, r}^{m, n+1} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (\alpha - p - n, 1)(a_j, \alpha_j)(a_{j_i}, \alpha_{j_i}) \\ (b_j, \beta_j)(b_{j_i}, \beta_{j_i})(\beta - p - n, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]}{I_{p_1^{\pm 1}, q_1^{\pm 1}, r}^{m, n+1} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (\alpha, 1)(a_j, \alpha_j)(a_{j_i}, \alpha_{j_i}) \\ (b_j, \beta_j)(b_{j_i}, \beta_{j_i})(\beta, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]} \right] a_{n-p} z^{n-p}$$

$$= z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) a_{n-p} z^{n-p}, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{I_{p_1^{\pm 1}, q_1^{\pm 1}, r}^{m, n+1} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (\alpha - p - n, 1)(a_j, \alpha_j)(a_{j_i}, \alpha_{j_i}) \\ (b_j, \beta_j)(b_{j_i}, \beta_{j_i})(\beta - p - n, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]}{I_{p_1^{\pm 1}, q_1^{\pm 1}, r}^{m, n+1} \left[ z \left| \begin{matrix} (\alpha, 1)(a_j, \alpha_j)(a_{j_i}, \alpha_{j_i}) \\ (b_j, \beta_j)(b_{j_i}, \beta_{j_i})(\beta, 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]} \tag{1.6}$$

**Definition (1.1):** Let  $f \in \mathcal{R}_p^*$  given by (1.1). Then  $f$  be in the class  $J_p^{\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu)$  if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\left| \frac{\frac{z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z)} - \theta}{\delta \left[ \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z)} - \theta \right] + p[\delta - \beta(1 - \nu)]} \right| < 1, \tag{1.7}$$

where  $\theta, \delta, \beta$  belong to  $(0, 1]$  and  $\nu$  belong to  $[0, 1)$ .

We define the subclass  $J_p^{* \alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu) = J_p \cap J_p^{\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu)$ .

**2.Main results:**

In the first theorem, we provide sufficient condition for functions to be in the class  $J_p^{\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu)$ .

**Theorem (2.1):** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $J_p^{\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu)$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - \nu)) |a_{n-p}| |b_{n-p}| \leq p\beta(1 - \nu), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\theta, \delta, \beta$  belong to  $(0, 1]$ ,  $\nu$  belong to  $[0, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)$  is given by (1.6).

**proof:** Let the condition (2.1) hold true, then we have

$$\left| z \left( I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right)' - \theta \left[ z \left( I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right)' + p I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right] + p I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right|$$

$$- \left| p\beta(1 - \nu) I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) - \delta \left[ z \left( I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right)' - \theta \left[ z \left( I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right)' + p I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right] - p\delta \left( p I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f \circ g)(z) \right) \right] \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} - \theta \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} \right] - \right|$$

$$\left| p\beta(1 - \nu) z^{-p} + p\beta(1 - \nu) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} - \delta \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} - \theta \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p} \right] \right] \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1 + \theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} |z|^{n-p} - p\beta(1 - v) |z|^{-p} - p\beta(1 - v) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) |a_{n-p}| |b_{n-p}| |z|^{n-p} \\ &\quad + \delta(1 + \theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n |a_{n-p}| |b_{n-p}| |z|^{n-p} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - v)) |a_{n-p}| |b_{n-p}| - p\beta(1 - v) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis. Then by Maximum modulus theorem, we have  $f \in J_p^{\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ .

**Theorem (2.2):** The function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.2) is said to be in the class  $J_p^{*, \alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . If and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - v)) a_{n-p} b_{n-p} \leq p\beta(1 - v), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\theta, \delta, \beta$  belong to  $(0, 1)$ ,  $v$  belong to  $[0, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)$  is given by (1.6).

**proof:** We only need to prove the "only if" part of Theorem (2.1). For functions  $f(z) \in J_p$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z)} - \theta \left| \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z)} + p \right| + p \right| \\ &\quad \left| \delta \left[ \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z)} - \theta \left| \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))'}{I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z)} + p \right| + p[\delta - \beta(1 - v)] \right] \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))' - \theta \left| z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))' + p I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z) \right| + p I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z)}{\delta \left[ z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))' - \theta \left| z(I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z))' + p I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z) \right| + p[\delta - \beta(1 - v)] I_p^{\alpha, \beta}(f * g)(z) \right]} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{(1 + \theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p-1}}{p\beta(1 - v) + p\beta(1 - v) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p-1} - \delta(1 + \theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p-1}} \right| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

since  $Re(z) \leq |z|$ , ( $z \in U^*$ ), we thus find that

$$Re \left( \frac{(1 + \theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p-1}}{p\beta(1 - v) + p\beta(1 - v) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p-1} - \delta(1 + \theta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) n a_{n-p} b_{n-p} z^{n-p-1}} \right) < 1.$$

If we now choose  $z$  to be real and let  $z \rightarrow 1^-$ , we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - v)) a_{n-p} b_{n-p} \leq p\beta(1 - v)$$

which is equivalent to (2.2).

**Corollary (2.1):** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.2) be in the class  $J_p^{*, \alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Then

$$a_{n-p} \leq \frac{p\beta(1 - v)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - v)) b_{n-p}}.$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z^{-p} - \frac{p\beta(1 - v)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - v)) b_{n-p}} z^{n-p}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let the function  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be defined by

$$f_j(z) = z^{-p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p, j} z^{n-p}, \quad (a_{n-p, j} \geq 0). \quad (2.4)$$

The modified Hadamard product of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  is defined by





$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = z^{-p} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p,1} a_{n-p,2} z^{n-p} = (f_2 * f_1)(z).$$

**Theorem (2.3):** Let the function  $f_j(z) (j = 1, 2)$  be in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Then  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , where

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{np\beta(1-v)^2(1+\theta)(1+\delta)}{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))^2 b_{n-p}}.$$

The result is sharp for the functions  $f_j(z) (j = 1, 2)$  given by

$$f_j(z) = z^{-p} - \frac{p\beta(1-v)}{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) b_{n-p}} z^{n-p}, (j = 1, 2), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)$  given by (1.6).

**proof:** Employing the technique used earlier by Shild and Silverman [15], we need to find the largest  $\eta$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\eta))}{p\beta(1-\eta)} a_{n-p,1} a_{n-p,2} \leq 1.$$

Since  $f_j(z) \in J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ ,  $(j = 1, 2)$ , we readily see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} a_{n-p,1} \leq 1,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} a_{n-p,2} \leq 1.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} \sqrt{a_{n-p,1} a_{n-p,2}} \leq 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\eta))}{p\beta(1-\eta)} a_{n-p,1} a_{n-p,2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))}{p\beta(1-v)} \sqrt{a_{n-p,1} a_{n-p,2}}$$

or equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{a_{n-p,1} a_{n-p,2}} \leq \frac{(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))(1-\eta)}{(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\eta))(1-v)}.$$

Hence, in the right of inequality (2.6), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p\beta(1-v)}{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) b_{n-p}} \\ & \leq \frac{(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))(1-\eta)}{(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\eta))(1-v)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from (2.6) that

$$\eta \leq 1 - \frac{np\beta(1-v)^2(1+\theta)(1+\delta)}{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))^2 b_{n-p}}.$$

Using similar arguments to those in the proof of Theorem (2.3), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem (2.4):** Let the function  $f_1(z)$  defined by (2.5) be in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Suppose also that the function  $f_2(z)$  defined by (2.5) be in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Then  $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , where

$$\forall \xi = 1 - \frac{np\beta(1+\theta)(1+\delta)(1-v)(1-\xi)}{\mathcal{Q}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\xi))}$$



the result is sharp for the function  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) given by

$$f_1(z) = z^{-p} - \frac{np\beta(1+\theta)(1+\delta)(1-v)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))b_{2-p}} z^{2-p},$$

and

$$f_2(z) = z^{-p} - \frac{np\beta(1+\theta)(1+\delta)(1-\xi)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\xi))b_{2-p}} z^{2-p}.$$

**Theorem (2.5):** Let the functions  $f_j(z)$ , ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by (2.5) be in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Then the function

$$h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{n-p,1}^2 + a_{n-p,2}^2) z^{n-p}$$

belong to the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , where

$$\varphi = 1 - \frac{2np\beta(1-v)^2(1+\theta)(1+\delta)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))^2 b_{n-p} + p\beta(1-v)^2}.$$

The result is sharp for the function  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) given by (2.7).

**Proof:** By using Theorem (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} \right]^2 a_{n-p,1}^2 \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} a_{n-p,1} \right]^2 \leq 1, \quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} \right]^2 a_{n-p,2}^2 \\ \leq \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} a_{n-p,2} \right]^2 \leq 1. \quad (2.9) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} \right]^2 (a_{(n-p)_1}^2 + a_{(n-p)_2}^2) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest  $\varphi$  such that

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\varphi))b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-\varphi)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} \right]^2.$$

That is

$$\varphi \leq 1 - \frac{2np\beta(1-v)^2(1+\theta)(1+\delta)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))^2 b_{2-p} + p\beta(1-v)^2} \blacksquare$$

In the following theorem, we consider integral transforms of the functions in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ .

**Theorem (2.6):** Let the function  $f$  defined by (1.2) be in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Then the integral transforms

$$F_{c+p-1}(z) = c \int_0^1 u^{c+p-1} f(uz) du, \quad (0 < u \leq 1, 0 < c < \infty) \quad (2.10)$$

is in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , where

$$\mu = 1 - \frac{cn(1-v)(1+\theta)(1+\delta)}{(c+1)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) + cp\beta(1-v)}.$$

The result is sharp for the function  $f$  given by



$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta(1-v)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)((1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))} z^{1-p}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2.11)$$

**Proof:** Suppose  $f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} z^{n-p}$  be in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{c+p-1}(z) &= c \int_0^1 u^{c+p-1} f(uz) du, \\ &= c \int_0^1 \left[ u^{c-1} z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-p} u^{c+n-1} z^{n-p} \right] du \\ &= z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+n} a_{n-p} z^{n-p}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem (2.1), it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\mu))]}{(c+n)p\beta(1-\mu)} b_{n-p} a_{n-p} \leq 1, \quad (2.12)$$

Since  $f \in J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))]}{p\beta(1-v)} b_{n-p} a_{n-p} \leq 1.$$

Note that (2.12) is satisfies if

$$\frac{c[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\mu))]}{(c+n)p\beta(1-\mu)} \leq \frac{[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))]}{p\beta(1-v)}$$

Rewriting the inequality, we have

$$\frac{(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\mu))}{(1-\mu)} \leq \frac{(c+n)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))}{c(1-v)}$$

Solving for  $\mu$ , we have

$$\mu = 1 - \frac{cn(1-v)(1+\theta)(1+\delta)}{(c+1)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) + cp\beta(1-v)} = F(n). \quad (2.13)$$

A simple computation shows that  $F(n)$  is increasing  $F(n) \geq F(1)$ . Using this result follows:

**Theorem (2.7):** Let the function  $f$  defined by (1.2) is in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ . Then the integral transforms

$$F_{c+p-1}(z) = c \int_0^1 u^{c+p-1} f(uz) du, \quad (0 < u \leq 1, 0 < c < \infty)$$

is in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p})$ , the result is sharp for function  $f$  given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right)}{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) \left( (1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right) \right)} z^{1-p}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (2.14)$$

**Proof:** By Definition of  $F_{c+p-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{c+p-1}(z) &= c \int_0^1 u^{c+p-1} f(uz) du \\ &= z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+n} a_{n-p} z^{n-p}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem (2.1), it is sufficient to show that



$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c \left[ \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right) \right)}{(c+n)p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right)} \right] b_{n-p} a_{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (2.15)$$

Since  $f \in J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))] b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)} a_{n-p} \leq 1.$$

Note that (2.15) is satisfied if

$$\frac{c \left[ \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) \left( n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right) \right) \right]}{(c+n)p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right)} \leq \frac{[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))]}{p\beta(1-v)},$$

or equivalently, when

$$\mathcal{H}(n, c, \alpha, \beta, p, \theta, v, \delta) = \frac{c(1-v) \left[ \left( n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right) \right) \right]}{(c+n) \left[ p\beta \left( 1 - \frac{1+\mathcal{O}(c+p-1)}{1+c+p} \right) \right] (n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))} \leq 1,$$

since  $\mathcal{H}(n, c, \alpha, \beta, p, \theta, v, \delta)$  is decreasing of  $n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Then the proof is complete. ■

**Theorem (2.8):** Let the function  $f$  defined by (1.2) be in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , and

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{c} [(c+p)f(z) + zf'(z)] = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c+n}{c} a_{n-p} z^{n-p}, c > 0 \quad (2.16)$$

then  $F$  is in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$  for  $|z| \leq r(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \delta, c, \varepsilon)$ , where

$$r(\alpha, \beta, \theta, \delta, c, \varepsilon) = \inf_n \left[ \frac{c(1-\varepsilon)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))}{(n+c)(1-v)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\varepsilon))} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, n \in N.$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (2.14).

**Proof:** Let  $T = [z^p f(z) + (1+\delta)z^{p+1}f'(z)]$ . Then it is sufficient to show that

$$|T-1| < |T+1-2\varepsilon|$$

A computation shows that is satisfied if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+c)[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\varepsilon))]}{cp\beta(1-\varepsilon)} a_{n-p} |z|^{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (2.17)$$

Since  $f \in J_p^{*\alpha, \beta}(\theta, \delta, v)$ , then by Theorem (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) \leq p\beta(1-v).$$

The inequality (2.17) is satisfied if

$$\frac{(n+c)[\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\varepsilon))]}{cp\beta(1-\varepsilon)} a_{n-p} |z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta) (n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v)) a_{n-p} b_{n-p}}{p\beta(1-v)}$$

Solving for  $|z|$ , we get

$$|z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{c(1-\varepsilon)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))}{(n+c)(1-v)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\varepsilon)) b_{n-p}}.$$

Therefore,

$$|z| \leq \left[ \frac{c(1-\varepsilon)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-v))}{(n+c)(1-v)(n(1+\theta)(1+\delta) - p\beta(1-\varepsilon)) b_{n-p}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}.$$

Solving for  $|z|$  we get the result.



Now, we obtain the inclusion properties of the class  $J_p^{*\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu)$ .

**Theorem (2.9):** Let  $\theta, \varphi, \delta$  belong to  $(0, 1]$ ,  $\nu$  belong to  $[0, 1)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Then

$$J_p^{*\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu + 1) \subseteq J_p^{*\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu), \text{ where}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{(n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(2 - \nu))(1 - \nu) + (2 - \nu)(p\beta(1 - \nu) - n(1 + \theta))}{n(2 - \nu)(1 + \theta)}. \quad (2.18)$$

**Proof:** Let the function defined by (1.2) is in the class  $J_p^{*\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu + 1)$ . Then by using Theorem (2.1), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(2 - \nu))}{p\beta(2 - \nu)} a_{n-p} b_{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (2.19)$$

In order to prove that  $f \in J_p^{*\alpha,\beta}(\theta, \delta, \nu)$ , we must have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{O}_p(m, n, \alpha, \beta)(n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - \nu))}{p\beta(1 - \nu)} a_{n-p} b_{n-p} \leq 1. \quad (2.20)$$

Not that (2.20) is satisfies if

$$\frac{(n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(1 - \nu))}{p\beta(1 - \nu)} \leq \frac{(n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(2 - \nu))}{p\beta(2 - \nu)}. \quad (2.21)$$

Rewriting the inequality, we have

$$\lambda \leq \frac{(n(1 + \theta)(1 + \delta) - p\beta(2 - \nu))(1 - \nu) + (2 - \nu)(p\beta(1 - \nu) - n(1 + \theta))}{n(2 - \nu)(1 + \theta)}. \quad (2.22)$$

Since the right-hand side of (2.22) is an increasing function of  $n$ , thus we get (2.18). ■

## References

- [1] O. Altıntaş, H. Trmark and H. M. Srivastava, A family of meromorphically univalent functions with positive coefficients, Pan. Amer. Math. J. , 5(1)(1995), 75-81.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and A. E. Sharmarky, A certain subclass of meromorphically convex functions with negative coefficients, J. Approx. Theory and Appl. , 1(2) (2005), 123-143.
- [3] W. G. Atshan, Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative II, J. Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications, 3 (2008), 67-77.
- [4] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, Meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions with positive coefficients defined by convolution and integral operator, Indian Journal of Acad. Math. , 29(2) (2007), 409-423.
- [5] A. Catas, On certain classes of  $p$ -valent functions defined by multiplier transformations, in: Proc. Book of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications, Istanbul, Turkey, August 2007, 20-25.
- [6] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, Some results of  $p$ -valent meromorphic functions defined by a linear operator, Far East J. Math. Sci. , 44(2010), 155-165.
- [7] F. Ghanim and M. Darus, Some properties of certain subclass of meromorphically multivalent functions defined by a linear operator, J. Math. Stat. , 6(2010), 34-41.
- [8] P. He and D. Zhang , Certain subclass of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with linear operator, Applied Math. Letter, 24(2011), 1817-1822.
- [9] J. L. Liu, Properties of some families of meromorphic  $p$ -valent functions, Math. Japan, 52(2000), 425-434.
- [10] J. L. Liu, A linear operator and its application on  $p$ -valent meromorphic functions, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. , 31(2003), 23-32.
- [11] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric functions, Math. Comput. Modelling, 39(2004), 21-34.
- [12] M. L. Mogra, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients II, Math, Japan, 35(6) (1990), 1089-1098.
- [13] A. O. Mostafa, Inclusion results for certain subclass of  $p$ -valent meromorphic functions associated with a new operator, Journal of Inequalities and Applications , 169(2012), 1/14-14/14.
- [14] V. P. Saxena, "A formal solution of certain new pair of dual integral equations involving Hfunctions," Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of India A, 52(A)(1982), 366-375.
- [15] A. Schildm and H. Silverman, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, Ann. Uni. Mariae-Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A, 29(1975), 109-116.
- [16] H. Silverman, Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients, Houston J. Math. , 23 (1997), 169-174.
- [17] J. Yang and S. Li, Certain subclass of  $p$ -valent meromorphic functions defined by linear operator, Int. Open Problem Complex Analysis, 4(2) (2010), 10- 23