

Finite Groups Having Exactly 34 Elements of Maximal Order

Zhangjia Han, Chao Yang

School of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, Chengdu, China

ABSTRACT

Let G be a finite group, M(G) denotes the number of elements ofmaximal order of G. In this note a finite group G with M(G) = 34 is determined.

Indexing terms/Keywords

Finite groups; Classification; Number of elements of maximal order; Thompson's Conjectur.

Academic Discipline And Sub-Disciplines

Mathematics

SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D45, 20E34





Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No. 5

www.cirjam.com, editorjam@gmail.com



INTRODUCTION

For a finite group G, we denote by M(G) the number of elements of maximal order of G, and the maximal element order in G by k = k(G). There is atopic related to one of Thompson's Conjectures:

Thompson's Conjecture: Let G be a finite group. For a positive integerd, define $G(d) = |\{x \in G | \text{the order of } x \text{ is d}\}|$. If S is a solvable group, G(d) = S(d) for d = 1; 2; ..., then G is solvable.

Recently, some authors have investigated this topic in several articles (See[3], [7], [8], [9]). In particular, in [2] the authors gave a complete classification of the finite group with M(G) = 30, and the finite group with M(G) = 24 are classified in [6]. In this paper, we consider a finite group G satisfying M(G) = 34. Our main result of this paper is:

Main Theorem: Suppose G is a finite group having exactly 34 elements of maximal order. Then G is solvable and $|G| = 2^{\alpha}3^{\beta}$, where $2 \le \alpha \le 7$, and $1 \le \beta \le 4$.

By the above theorem, we have:

Corollary: Thompson's Conjecture holds if G has exactly 34 elements of maximal order.

All groups considered are finite and all unexplained notations are standard and can be found in [4].

Preliminaries

The following lemma reveals the relationship of M(G) and k.

Lemma 2.1 [9, Lemma 1] Suppose G has exactly n cyclic subgroups of orderl, then the number of elements of order I (de -noted by $n_{I}(G)$) is $n_{I}(G) = n \phi(I)$, where $\phi(I)$ is the Euler function of I. In particular, if n denotes the number

of cyclic subgroups of G of maximal order k, then $M(G) = n \phi(k)$.

By above lemma, we have:

Lemma 2.2 If M(G) = 34 and k is maximal element order of G, then possible values of n, k and ϕ (k) are given in following table:

n	φ(k)	k
34	1	2
17	2	3,4,6
2	17	null
1	34	null

In proving our main theorem, the following two results will be frequently used.

Lemma 2.3 [2, Lemma 6] If k is prime, and the number of elements of maximal order k is m, then k divides m + 1.

Lemma 2.4 [2, Lemma 8] If the number of elements of maximal order k is m, then there exists a positive integer α such that |G| divides mk $^{\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.5 [8, Lemma 2.5] Let P be a p-group with order p^t , where p is a prime, and t is a positive integer. Suppose $b \in Z(P)$, where $o(b) = p^u = k$ with u a positive integer. Then P has at least $(p-1)p^{t-1}$ elements of order k.

Proof of Main Theorem

By the hypothesis M(G) = 34, then k = 2 by Lemma 2.3, and and k = 4 by [2, Corollary 2]. In the following we prove our theorem case by case for the remaining possible values of k.

Case 1 k = 3. In this case G is a 3-group or a {2,3}-group. If G is a 3-group, then $\exp(G) = 3$. By [5, Theorem 3.8.8], the number of 3-elements M(G) of G satisfies that M(G) \equiv 4(mod 9), which contradicts with the fact M(G) = 34. Hence G is not a 3-group. If G is a {2,3}-group, then π_e (G) = {1,2, 3}. By [1, Theorem] we know that $G = N \succeq Q$ is a Frobenius group, whe

-re $N \cong C_3^t$, $Q \cong C_2$ or $N \cong C_2^{2t}$, $Q \cong C_3$. Suppose that $Q \cong C_2$. Then N is an elementary abelian 3-group, By [5, Theorem 3.8.8], we can get a contradiction. If $Q \cong C_3$, then the number of elements of order 3 is two, which contradicts to our assumption. Thus $k \neq 3$.



Case 2 k = 6. In this case $|G| = 2^{\alpha}3^{\beta}$, where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ by Lemma 2.4. Let x be an element of order 6. Then $|C_G(x)| = 2^{u}3^{v}$. Since there exists no element of order 9 or 4 in $C_G(x)$, we have $v \le 3$ and $u \le 4$ by Lemma 2.5. Since G has exactly 17 cyclic subgroups of order 6, we have $|G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6; 8; 9; 12 or 16. If there is an element y of order 6 in G such that $|G:N_G(x)| = 9$; 12 or 16, then there exists another element z of order 6 in G such that $|G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. That is to say, G always has an element x of order 6 such that $|G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore $|G| = |G:N_G(x)| = 1$; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2; 3; 4; 6 or 8. Therefore |G| = 1; 2;

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the National Scienti⁻ c Foundation of China(No: 11301426 and 11471055) and Scientific Research Foundation of SiChuan Provincial Education Department(No: 15ZA0181) and the Scientific Research Foundation of CUIT (No: J201418).

REFERENCES

- [1] Brandl, R., Shi, W. J., Finite groups whose element orders are consecutive integers, J. Alg., 143(2)(1991), 388-400.
- [2] Chen, G. Y., Shi, W. J., Finite groups with 30 elements of maximal order, Appl. Categor. Struct.16(2008), 239-247.
- [3] Du, X. L., Jiang, Y. Y., On nite groups with exact 4p elements of max-imal order are solvable, Chin. Ann. Math. 25A(5) (2004), 607-612 (inChinese).
- [4] Gorenstein, D.,1968. Finite Groups, New York: Harper & Row press.
- [5] Huppert, B., 1967. Endliche Gruppern I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York.
- [6] Qinhui Jiang , Changguo Shao, Finite groups with 24 elements of maximal order, Front. Math. China, 5(4)(2010), 665-678.
- [7] Youyi Jiang, Finite groups with 2p2 elements of maximal order are solvable, Chin. Ann. Math., 21A(1)(2000), 6l-64(in Chinese).
- [8] Youyi Jiang, A theorem of nite groups with 18p elements having maximal order, Alg. Coll., 15(2)(2008), 317-329.
- [9] Cheng Yang, Finite groups based on the numbers of elements of maximal order, Chin. Ann. Math.14A(5),(1993), 561-567(in Chinese).