

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24297/jam.v21i.9166>**W-Power N-Binormal Operator on Hilbert Space**

Alaa Hussein Mohammed

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniya-Iraq

alaa.hussein@qu.edu

Abstract: In this paper we present a new class of operators on Hilbert space called w-power n-binormal operator. We study this operator and give some properties of it.

Keywords: Normal operator, Binormal operator, Hilbert space.

Introduction: Consider $B(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on Hilbert space H . An operator S called normal if $S^*S = SS^*$. In [2] Campbell, Stephen, L. introduce the class binormal of operator which is defined as $S^*SSS^* = SS^*S^*S$. In [4] Panayappan, S. and Sivamani give a new class of operators called n-binormal and it is defined as $S^*S^nS^nS^* = S^nS^*S^*S^n$. In this paper we defined a new class of operators on Hilbert space as $(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* = S^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n$ called w-power n-binormal operator and study some properties of it.

Main Result

Definition 1.1 Let S be bounded operator. S is called w-power n-binormal operator if and only if $(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* = S^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n$, where w, n are nonnegative integer.

Example 1.2 : Let S be a weighted shift operator of non-zero weights $\{\beta_r\}_{r=0}^\infty$. then S is w-power n-binormal operator if and only if

$$\frac{(\overline{\beta_{r-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-w}}) (\beta_{r-w} \dots \beta_{r-w+n-1}) (\beta_{r-w+n} \dots \beta_{r-w+2n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r-w+2n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-2w+2n}})}{(\beta_r \dots \beta_{r+n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-w}}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-w-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-2w}}) (\beta_{r+2n-2w} \dots \beta_{r+2n-2w-1})} z_{r-2w+2n} = z_{r+2n-2w}$$

Proof: Suppose $\{z_r\}_{r=0}^\infty$ be orthogonal basis of H . Hence $Sz_r = \beta_r z_{r+1}$,

$$S^*z_r = \overline{\beta_{r-1}} z_{r-1}, \quad S^n z_r = (\beta_r \dots \beta_{r+n-1}) z_{r+n} \text{ and}$$

$$(S^w)^* z_r = (S^*)^w z_r = (\overline{\beta_{r-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-w}}) z_{r-w}$$

$$(S^w)^* S^n S^n (S^w)^* z_r = (S^w)^* S^n (\overline{\beta_{r-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-w}}) S^n z_{r-w}$$

$$= (S^w)^* S^n (\overline{\beta_{r-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-w}}) (\beta_{r-w} \dots \beta_{r-w+n-1}) z_{r-w+n}$$

$$= (\overline{\beta_{r-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-w}}) (\beta_{r-w} \dots \beta_{r-w+n-1}) (\beta_{r-w+n} \dots \beta_{r-w+2n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r-w+2n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-2w+2n}}) z_{r-2w+2n}$$

$$S^n (S^w)^* (S^w)^* S^n z_r = S^n (S^w)^* (\beta_r \dots \beta_{r+n-1}) (S^w)^* z_{r+n}$$

$$= S^n (S^w)^* (\beta_r \dots \beta_{r+n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-w}}) z_{r+n-w}$$

$$= S^n (\beta_r \dots \beta_{r+n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-w}}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-w-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-2w}}) z_{r+n-2w}$$

$$= (\beta_r \dots \beta_{r+n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-w}}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-w-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-2w}}) (\beta_{r+2n-2w} \dots \beta_{r+2n-2w-1}) z_{r+2n-2w}$$

Hence, S is w-power n-binormal operator if and only if

$$(\overline{\beta_{r-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-w}}) (\beta_{r-w} \dots \beta_{r-w+n-1}) (\beta_{r-w+n} \dots \beta_{r-w+2n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r-w+2n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r-2w+2n}}) z_{r-2w+2n}$$

$$= (\beta_r \dots \beta_{r+n-1}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-w}}) (\overline{\beta_{r+n-w-1}} \dots \overline{\beta_{r+n-2w}}) (\beta_{r+2n-2w} \dots \beta_{r+2n-2w-1}) z_{r+2n-2w}$$

Proposition 1.3 Suppose S be abounded operator on H , then it is be w-power n-binormal operator if and only if S is a n-power w-binormal operator.

Proof: Let S be w-power n-binormal operator then $(S^w)^* S^n S^n (S^w)^* = S^n (S^w)^* (S^w)^* S^n$. Therefore, we need to prove that S is a n-power w-binormal operator.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S^n)^* S^w S^w (S^n)^* &= [[(S^n)^* S^w S^w (S^n)^*]^*]^* \\
 &= [[S^w (S^n)^*]^* [(S^n)^* S^w]^*]^* \\
 &= [[(S^n)^* S^w]^*]^* [[S^w (S^n)^*]^*]^* \\
 &= [(S^w)^* S^n]^* [S^n (S^w)^*]^* \\
 &= [S^n (S^w)^* (S^w)^* S^n]^*, \text{ since } S \text{ w-power } n\text{-binormal operator} \\
 &= [(S^w)^* S^n S^n (S^w)^*]^* \\
 &= [S^n (S^w)^*]^* [(S^w)^* S^n]^* \\
 &= S^w (S^n)^* (S^n)^* S^w
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, S is a n-power w-binormal operator. The convers is similarly.

Definition 1.4 [3]: If A, B are bounded operator on Hilbert space H. Then A, B are unitary equivalent if there is an isomorphism $U: H \rightarrow H$ such that $B = UAU^*$.

Proposition 1.5 If S is w-power n-binormal operator,

- 1.- then S^* is w-power n-binormal operator.
- 2.- If S^{-1} exist then, S^{-1} is w-power n-binormal operator.
- 3.- If $T \in B(H)$ is unitary equivalent to S then T is w-power n-binormal operator.

Proof

1. Since S is w-power n-binormal operator, then $(S^w)^* S^n S^n (S^w)^* = S^n (S^w)^* (S^w)^* S^n$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((S^*)^w)^* (S^*)^n (S^*)^n ((S^*)^w)^* &= S^w (S^n)^* (S^n)^* S^w \text{ By above proposition we have,} \\
 &= (S^*)^w S^n S^n (S^*)^w \\
 &= (S^*)^n S^w S^w (S^*)^n \\
 &= (S^*)^n ((S^*)^w)^* ((S^*)^w)^* (S^*)^n \\
 &= (S^*)^n ((S^*)^w)^* ((S^*)^w)^* (S^*)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, S^* is w-power n-binormal operator.

$$\begin{aligned}
 2. \text{ Consider } &((S^{-1})^w)^* (S^{-1})^n (S^{-1})^n ((S^{-1})^w)^* \\
 &= ((S^*)^w)^{-1} (S^n)^{-1} (S^n)^{-1} ((S^*)^w)^{-1} \\
 &= [S^n (S^*)^w]^{-1} [(S^*)^w S^n]^{-1} \\
 &= [(S^*)^w S^n S^n (S^*)^w]^{-1}, \text{ by above proposition} \\
 &= [S^n (S^w)^* (S^w)^* S^n]^{-1} \\
 &= [S^n (S^*)^w]^{-1} [S^n (S^*)^w]^{-1} \\
 &= (S^n)^{-1} ((S^*)^w)^{-1} ((S^*)^w)^{-1} (S^n)^{-1} \\
 &= (S^{-1})^n ((S^{-1})^w)^* ((S^{-1})^w)^* (S^{-1})^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, S^{-1} is w-power n-binormal operator.

3. Since T is unitary equivalent to S then $T = USU^*$, therefore $(USU^*)^n = US^n U^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (T^w)^* T^n T^n (T^w)^* &= ((USU^*)^w)^* (USU^*)^n (USU^*)^n ((USU^*)^w)^* \\
 &= (US^w U^*)^* (US^n U^*) (US^n U^*) (US^w U^*)^* \\
 &= (U(S^w)^* U^*) (US^n U^*) (US^n U^*) (U(S^w)^* U^*) \\
 &= U(S^w)^* S^n S^n (S^w)^* U^*
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= US^nU^*U(S^w)^*U^*U(S^w)^*U^*US^nU^* \\
 &= (US^nU^*)(US^wU^*)^*(US^wU^*)^*(US^nU^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= (USU^*)^n((USU^*)^w)^*((USU^*)^w)^*(USU^*)^n = T^n(T^w)^*(T^w)^*T^n$$

Hence T is w-power n-binormal operator

Proposition 1.6 Let S be a bounded operator. If S is w-power n-binormal operator then S^{nw} is binormal operator.

Proof: Suppose that S is w-power n-binormal operator then $(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* = S^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n$ it is clear that $(S^m)^* = (S^*)^m$ for each nonnegative integer m.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S^{nw})^*S^{nw}S^{nw}(S^{nw})^* &= ((S^w)^n)^*S^{nw}S^{nw}((S^w)^n)^* \\
 &= \underbrace{(S^wS^w \dots S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^wS^w \dots S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \\
 &= \underbrace{(S^w)^*(S^w)^* \dots (S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^w)^*(S^w)^* \dots (S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \\
 &= (S^w)^*(S^w)^* \dots S^n(S^w)^*S^nS^n \dots S^n.S^nS^n \dots (S^w)^*S^n.(S^w)^*(S^w)^* \dots (S^w)^* \\
 &\quad \vdots \\
 &= \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^w)^*(S^w)^* \dots (S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^w)^*(S^w)^* \dots (S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \\
 &= \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^wS^w \dots S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^wS^w \dots S^w)^*}_{n\text{-times}} \underbrace{(S^nS^n \dots S^n)}_{m\text{-times}} \\
 &= S^{nw}((S^w)^n)^*((S^w)^n)^*S^{nw} \\
 &= S^{nw}(S^{nw})^*(S^{nw})^*S^{nw}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, S^{nw} is binormal operator.

Theorem 1.7 The set of all w-power n-binormal operators on H is a closed subset of B(H) under scalar multiplication.

Proof: Let

$$W(H) = \{S \in B(H) : S \text{ is } w\text{-power } n\text{-binormal operator on } H \text{ for some nonnegative integer } w\}$$

Let $S \in W(H)$ then we have S is w-power n-binormal operator and thus $(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* = S^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n$.

Let γ be a scalar, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((\gamma S)^w)^*(\gamma S)^n(\gamma S)^n((\gamma S)^w)^* &= (\bar{\gamma})^w(S^w)^*\gamma^nS^n\gamma^nS^n(\bar{\gamma})^w(S^w)^* \\
 &= (\bar{\gamma})^w\gamma^n\gamma^n(\bar{\gamma})^w(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* \\
 &= (\bar{\gamma})^w\gamma^n\gamma^n(\bar{\gamma})^wS^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n \\
 &= \gamma^nS^n(\bar{\gamma})^w(S^w)^*(\bar{\gamma})^w(S^w)^*\gamma^nS^n \\
 &= (\gamma S)^n((\gamma S)^w)^*((\gamma S)^w)^*(\gamma S)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\gamma S \in W(H)$,

Let S_k be a sequence in $W(H)$ and converge to S, then we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|(\square^\square)^*\square^\square\square^\square(\square^\square)^* - \square^\square(\square^\square)^*(\square^\square)^*\square^\square\| \\
 &= \|(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* - (S_k^w)^*S_k^nS_k^n(S_k^w)^* + S_k^n(S_k^w)^*(S_k^w)^*S_k^n - S^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n\| \\
 &\leq \|(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* - (S_k^w)^*S_k^nS_k^n(S_k^w)^*\| + \|S_k^n(S_k^w)^*(S_k^w)^*S_k^n - S^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(S^w)^*S^nS^n(S^w)^* = S^n(S^w)^*(S^w)^*S^n$ therefore $S \in W(H)$.



Then, $W(H)$ is closed subset.

Theorem 1.8: If R and S are w -power n -binormal operators on H , and let S commute with R then (SR) is w -power n -binormal operator on H .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((SR^w))^*(SR)^n(SR)^n((SR^w))^* &= (R^w)^*(S^w)^*(R)^n(S)^n(R)^n(S)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^* \\
 &= (R^w)^*(S^w)^*(S)^n(S)^n(R)^n(R)^n(S^w)^*(R^w)^* \\
 &= (R^w)^*(S^w)^*(S)^n(S)^n(R)^n(S^w)^*(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (R^w)^*(S)^n(S^w)^*(S)^n(S^w)^*(R)^n(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (S)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(S)^n(S^w)^*(R)^n(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (S)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(S)^n(R)^n(S^w)^*(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (S)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(R)^n(S)^n(S^w)^*(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (S)^n(R^w)^*(R)^n(S^w)^*(S)^n(S^w)^*(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (S)^n(R)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(S)^n(S^w)^*(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (S)^n(R)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(S^w)^*(S)^n(R)^n(R^w)^* \\
 &= (S)^n(R)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(S^w)^*(R^w)^*(S)^n(R)^n \\
 &= (S)^n(R)^n(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(R^w)^*(S^w)^*(R)^n(S)^n \\
 &= (SR)^n((SR^w))^*((SR^w))^*(SR)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.9: Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k , are w -power n -binormal operators on H . Then the direct sum $(S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)$ is w -power n -binormal operator on H .

Proof: Since every operator of S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k is w -power n -binormal, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S_l^w)^* S_l^n S_l^n (S_l^w)^* &= S_l^n (S_l^w)^* (S_l^w)^* S_l^n \text{ for all } l = 1, 1, \dots, k \\
 ((S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^w)^* (S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^n (S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^n ((S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^w)^* \\
 &= (S_1^w \oplus S_2^w \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^w)^* (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) (S_1^w \oplus S_2^w \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^w)^* \\
 &= [(S_1^w)^* \oplus (S_2^w)^* \oplus \dots \oplus (S_k^w)^*] (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) [(S_1^w)^* \oplus (S_2^w)^* \oplus \dots \oplus (S_k^w)^*] \\
 &= (S_1^w)^* S_1^n S_1^n (S_1^w)^* \oplus (S_2^w)^* S_2^n S_2^n (S_2^w)^* \oplus \dots \oplus (S_k^w)^* S_k^n S_k^n (S_k^w)^* \\
 &= S_1^n (S_1^w)^* (S_1^w)^* S_1^n \oplus S_2^n (S_2^w)^* (S_2^w)^* S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n (S_k^w)^* (S_k^w)^* S_k^n \\
 &= (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) [(S_1^w)^* \oplus (S_2^w)^* \oplus \dots \oplus (S_k^w)^*] [(S_1^w)^* \oplus (S_2^w)^* \oplus \dots \oplus (S_k^w)^*] (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) \\
 &= (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) (S_1^w \oplus S_2^w \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^w)^* (S_1^w \oplus S_2^w \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^w)^* (S_1^n \oplus S_2^n \oplus \dots \oplus S_k^n) \\
 &= (S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^n ((S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^w)^* ((S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^w)^* (S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)^n
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots \oplus S_k)$ is w -power n -binormal operator on H .

Theorem 1.10: Let S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k , are w -power n -binormal operators on H . Then the tensor product $(S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)$ is w -power n -binormal operator on H .

Proof: Since every operator of S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k is w -power n -binormal, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (S_l^w)^* S_l^n S_l^n (S_l^w)^* &= S_l^n (S_l^w)^* (S_l^w)^* S_l^n \text{ for all } l = 1, 1, \dots, k \\
 ((S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^w)^* (S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^n (S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^n ((S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^w)^* (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k) \\
 &= (S_1^w \otimes S_2^w \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^w)^* (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) (S_1^w \otimes S_2^w \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^w)^* (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [(S_1^w)^* \otimes (S_2^w)^* \otimes \dots \otimes (S_k^w)^*] (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) [(S_1^w)^* \otimes (S_2^w)^* \otimes \dots \otimes (S_k^w)^*] (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k) \\
 &= (S_1^w)^* S_1^n S_1^n (S_1^w)^* x_1 \otimes (S_2^w)^* S_2^n S_2^n (S_2^w)^* x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes (S_k^w)^* S_k^n S_k^n (S_k^w)^* x_k \\
 &= S_1^n (S_1^w)^* (S_1^w)^* S_1^n x_1 \otimes S_2^n (S_2^w)^* (S_2^w)^* S_2^n x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n (S_k^w)^* (S_k^w)^* S_k^n x_k \\
 &= (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) [(S_1^w)^* \otimes (S_2^w)^* \otimes \dots \otimes (S_k^w)^*] [(S_1^w)^* \otimes (S_2^w)^* \otimes \dots \otimes (S_k^w)^*] (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k) \\
 &= (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) (S_1^w \otimes S_2^w \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^w)^* (S_1^w \otimes S_2^w \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^w)^* (S_1^n \otimes S_2^n \otimes \dots \otimes S_k^n) (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k) \\
 &= (S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^n ((S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^w)^* ((S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^w)^* (S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)^n (x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(S_1 \otimes S_2 \otimes \dots \otimes S_k)$ is w-power n-binormal operator on H.

References:

1. Berberian, S.K. Introduction to Hilbert space. 1976. Sec. Ed, Chelsea Publishing Com. New York.
2. Campbell, Stephen, L. 1972. Linear operators for which T^*T and TT^* commute. 1972. Am-math.Soc.34,177-80.
3. Conway, J.B. A course in functional analysis. 1985. New York. Springer-Verlag
4. Panayappan, S. and Sivamani, N. On n-binormal operators. 2012. General Mathematics Notes 10, pp1-8.

