

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24297/jam.v20i.8969>**Coefficient Bounds for a New Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions Associated with Horadam Polynomials**

Najah Ali Jiben Al-Ziadi

Department of Mathematics, College of Education, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniya-Iraq

Abstract:

In this work we present and investigate three new subclasses of the function class Σ of bi-univalent functions in the open unit disk Δ defined by means of the Horadam polynomials. Furthermore, for functions in each of the subclasses introduced here, we obtain upper bounds for the initial coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$. Also, we debate Fekete-Szegő inequality for functions belongs to these subclasses.

Keywords: Bi-univalent functions, Coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szegő inequality, Holomorphic function, Horadam polynomials.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 30C50.

Introduction

Symbolized by \mathcal{A} the function class of the shape:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1)$$

which are holomorphic in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ and normalized under the conditions indicated by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Furthermore, symbolized by \mathcal{S} the class of all functions in \mathcal{A} which are univalent in U .

The Koebe One-Quarter Theorem [4] shows that the image of Δ includes a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$ under each function f from \mathcal{S} . Thereby each univalent function of this kind has an inverse f^{-1} which fulfills

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w \quad (|w| < r_0(f); r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \quad (2)$$

The function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is considered bi-univalent in Δ if together f^{-1} and f are univalent in Δ . Indicated by the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1), the class of all bi-univalent functions in Δ can be symbolized by Σ . In the year 2010, Srivastava et al. [10] refreshed the study of various classes of bi-univalent functions. Moreover, many penmans explored bounds for different subclasses of bi-univalent functions (see, for example [3,5,6,11]). The coefficient estimate problem involving the bound of $|a_n|$ ($n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1,2\}, \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3, \dots\}$) is still an open problem.

For two functions \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{Y} , holomorphic in the open unit disk Δ , we say that the function $\mathcal{D}(w)$ is subordinate to $\mathcal{Y}(w)$ in Δ , and write

$$\mathcal{D}(w) < \mathcal{Y}(w) \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $\mathcal{T}(w)$, holomorphic in Δ , with

$$\mathcal{T}(0) = 0 \text{ and } |\mathcal{T}(w)| < 1 \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

such that



$$\mathcal{D}(w) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{J}(w)) \quad (w \in \Delta).$$

In special, if the function \mathcal{Y} is univalent in Δ , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{D}(0) = \mathcal{Y}(0) \text{ and } \mathcal{D}(\Delta) \subset \mathcal{Y}(\Delta).$$

The following recurrence relation gives the Horadam polynomials $h_n(x)$ (see (8))

$$h_n(x) = pxh_{n-1}(x) + qh_{n-2}(x), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1,2\}, \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3, \dots\}), \quad (3)$$

with $h_1(x) = k, h_2(x) = bx$ and $h_3(x) = pbx^2 + kq$ where k, b, p and q are some real constants. The characteristic equation of repetition relationship (3) is $t^2 - pxt - q = 0$. There are two real roots of this equation

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{px + \sqrt{p^2x^2 + 4q}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{px - \sqrt{p^2x^2 + 4q}}{2}.$$

The generating function of the Horadam polynomials $h_n(x)$ is indicated by

$$\Omega(x, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(x)z^{n-1} = \frac{k + (b - kp)xz}{1 - pxz - qz^2}. \quad (4)$$

It should be noted that for specific values of k, b, p and q , the Horadam polynomial $h_n(x)$ leads to different polynomials, among those, we list a few cases here (see, [7 , 8], for more details) :

- a) If $k = b = p = q = 1$, then we get the Fibonacci polynomials $F_n(x)$.
- b) If $k = 2$ and $b = p = q = 1$, then we have the Lucas polynomials $L_n(x)$.
- c) If $k = q = 1$ and $b = p = 2$, then we attain the Pell polynomials $P_n(x)$.
- d) If $k = b = p = 2$ and $q = 1$, then we have the Pell-Lucas polynomials $Q_n(x)$.
- e) If $k = b = 1, p = 2$ and $q = -1$, then we obtain the Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(x)$ of the first kind.
- f) If $k = 1, b = p = 2$ and $q = -1$, then we attain the Chebyshev polynomials $U_n(x)$ of the second kind.

Coefficient bounds and Fekete–Szegő inequality for the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta, x)$

Definition 1 A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta, x)$ for $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if the following conditions of subordination are satisfied:

$$(1 - \beta)f'(z) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \Omega(x, z) + 1 - k \quad (5)$$

and

$$(1 - \beta)g'(w) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) < \Omega(x, w) + 1 - k, \quad (6)$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is indicated by (2) and k is real constant.

Remark 1

For $\beta = 0$, the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta, x)$ shortens to the class Σ' presented and investigated by Alamoush [2].

For $\beta = 1$, the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta, x)$ shortens to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(x)$ presented and investigated by Abirami et al. [1].

Theorem 1 Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ indicated by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}(\beta, x)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|bx|\sqrt{|bx|}}{\sqrt{|[(3 - \beta)b - 4p]bx^2 - 4kq|}} \quad (7)$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{b^2 x^2}{4} + \frac{|bx|}{3(\beta + 1)}, \quad (8)$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|bx|}{3(\beta + 1)} & \text{if} \\ |\mu - 1| \leq \frac{|[(3 - \beta)b - 4p]bx^2 - 4kq|}{3(\beta + 1)b^2 x^2} \\ \frac{|bx|^3 |\mu - 1|}{|[(3 - \beta)b - 4p]bx^2 - 4kq|} & \text{if} \\ |\mu - 1| \geq \frac{|[(3 - \beta)b - 4p]bx^2 - 4kq|}{3(\beta + 1)b^2 x^2}. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_2(\beta, x)$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there are two holomorphic function $v, u: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ indicated by

$$v(z) = t_1 z + t_2 z^2 + t_3 z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$u(w) = s_1 w + s_2 w^2 + s_3 w^3 + \dots \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

with $v(0) = u(0) = 0, |v(z)| < 1$ and $|u(w)| < 1, z, w \in \Delta$, such that

$$(1 - \beta)f'(z) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \Omega(x, v(z)) + 1 - k$$

and

$$(1 - \beta)g'(w) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) < \Omega(x, u(w)) + 1 - k.$$

Or, in equivalent way,

$$(1 - \beta)f'(z) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = 1 + h_1(x) - k + h_2(x)v(z) + h_3(x)[v(z)]^2 + \dots \quad (10)$$

and

$$(1 - \beta)g'(w) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) = 1 + h_1(x) - k + h_2(x)u(w) + h_3(x)[u(w)]^2 + \dots \quad (11)$$

From (10) and (11), we attain

$$(1 - \beta)f'(z) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) = 1 + h_2(x)t_1 z + [h_2(x)t_2 + h_3(x)t_1^2]z^2 + \dots \quad (12)$$

and

$$(1 - \beta)g'(w) + \beta \left(1 + \frac{wg''(w)}{g'(w)} \right) = 1 + h_2(x)s_1 w + [h_2(x)s_2 + h_3(x)s_1^2]w^2 + \dots \quad (13)$$

Notice that if

$$|v(z)| = |t_1 z + t_2 z^2 + t_3 z^3 + \dots| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$|u(w)| = |s_1 w + s_2 w^2 + s_3 w^3 + \dots| < 1 \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

then

$$|t_i| \leq 1 \text{ and } |s_i| \leq 1 \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It follows from (12) and (13) that

$$2a_2 = h_2(x)t_1, \quad (14)$$

$$3(1 + \beta)a_3 - 4\beta a_2^2 = h_2(x)t_2 + h_3(x)t_1^2, \quad (15)$$

$$-2a_2 = h_2(x)s_1 \quad (16)$$

and

$$-3(1 + \beta)a_3 + 2(\beta + 3)a_2^2 = h_2(x)s_2 + h_3(x)s_1^2. \quad (17)$$

From (14) and (16), we find that

$$t_1 = -s_1 \quad (18)$$

and

$$8a_2^2 = [h_2(x)]^2(t_1^2 + s_1^2). \quad (19)$$

If we add (15) to (17), we get

$$(6 - 2\beta)a_2^2 = h_2(x)(t_2 + s_2) + h_3(x)(t_1^2 + s_1^2). \quad (20)$$

By using (19) in equation (20), we have

$$\left[(6 - 2\beta) - \frac{8h_3(x)}{[h_2(x)]^2} \right] a_2^2 = h_2(x)(t_2 + s_2), \quad (21)$$

which yields

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|bx|\sqrt{|bx|}}{\sqrt{|[(3 - \beta)b - 4p]bx^2 - 4kq|}}.$$

Next, if we deduct (17) from (15), we get

$$6(\beta + 1)(a_3 - a_2^2) = h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2) + h_3(x)(t_1^2 - s_1^2). \quad (22)$$

In view of (18) and (19), equation (22) becomes

$$a_3 = \frac{[h_2(x)]^2(t_1^2 + s_1^2)}{8} + \frac{h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2)}{6(\beta + 1)}.$$

Now, with the help of equation (3), we deduce that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{b^2x^2}{4} + \frac{|bx|}{3(\beta + 1)}.$$

Finally, by using (21) and (22) for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2)}{6(\beta + 1)} + \frac{[h_2(x)]^3(1 - \mu)(t_2 + s_2)}{(6 - 2\beta)[h_2(x)]^2 - 8h_3(x)} \\ &= \frac{h_2(x)}{2} \left[\left(\Psi(\mu, x) + \frac{1}{3(\beta + 1)} \right) t_2 + \left(\Psi(\mu, x) - \frac{1}{3(\beta + 1)} \right) s_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi(\mu, x) = \frac{[h_2(x)]^2(1 - \mu)}{(3 - \beta)[h_2(x)]^2 - 4h_3(x)}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|h_2(x)|}{3(\beta + 1)} & \text{if } 0 \leq |\Psi(\mu, x)| \leq \frac{1}{3(\beta + 1)} \\ |h_2(x)| |\Psi(\mu, x)| & \text{if } |\Psi(\mu, x)| \geq \frac{1}{3(\beta + 1)} \end{cases}$$

and with respect to (3), it evidently completes the proof of the theorem (1).

Remark 2 If we put $\beta = 0$ in Theorem (1), we get the outcomes which were indicated by Alamoush [2]. In addition, if we put $\beta = 1$ in Theorem (1), we get the outcomes which were indicated by Abirami et al. [1].

Coefficient bounds and Fekete–Szegő inequality for the class $\mathcal{W}_x(\alpha, x)$

Definition 2 A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{W}_x(\alpha, x)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if the following conditions of subordination are satisfied:

$$\frac{zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)z^2f''(z)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)z + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)f(z)} < \Omega(x, z) + 1 - k \tag{23}$$

and

$$\frac{wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)w^2g''(w)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)w + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)g(w)} < \Omega(x, w) + 1 - k, \tag{24}$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is indicated by (2) and k is real constant.

Remark 3 For $\alpha = 0$, the class $\mathcal{W}_x(\alpha, x)$ shortens to the class $\mathcal{W}_x(x)$ introduced and investigated by Srivastava et al. [9].

Theorem 2 Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ indicated by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{W}_x(\alpha, x)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|bx|\sqrt{|bx|}}{\sqrt{|[(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)b - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2p]bx^2 - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2kq|}} \tag{25}$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{b^2x^2}{(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2} + \frac{|bx|}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)}, \tag{26}$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|bx|}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)} & \text{if } |\mu - 1| \leq \frac{|[(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)b - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2p]bx^2 - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2kq|}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)b^2x^2} \\ \frac{|bx|^3|\mu - 1|}{|[(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)b - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2p]bx^2 - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2kq|} & \text{if } |\mu - 1| \geq \frac{|[(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)b - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2p]bx^2 - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2kq|}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)b^2x^2} \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{W}_x(\alpha, x)$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there are two holomorphic function $v, u: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ indicated by

$$v(z) = t_1z + t_2z^2 + t_3z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$u(w) = s_1w + s_2w^2 + s_3w^3 + \dots \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

with $v(0) = u(0) = 0, |v(z)| < 1$ and $|u(w)| < 1, z, w \in \Delta$, such that

$$\frac{zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)z^2f''(z)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)z + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)f(z)} < \Omega(x, v(z)) + 1 - k$$

and



$$\frac{wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)w^2g''(w)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)w + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)g(w)} < \Omega(x, u(w)) + 1 - k.$$

Or, in equivalent way,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)z^2f''(z)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)z + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)f(z)} \\ & = 1 + h_1(x) - k + h_2(x)v(z) + h_3(x)[v(z)]^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)w^2g''(w)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)w + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)g(w)} \\ & = 1 + h_1(x) - k + h_2(x)u(w) + h_3(x)[u(w)]^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

From the equations (28) and (29), we attain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)z^2f''(z)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)z + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)zf'(z) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)f(z)} \\ & = 1 + h_2(x)t_1z + [h_2(x)t_2 + h_3(x)t_1^2]z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)w^2g''(w)}{4(\alpha - \alpha^2)w + (2\alpha^2 - \alpha)wg'(w) + (2\alpha^2 - 3\alpha + 1)g(w)} \\ & = 1 + h_2(x)s_1w + [h_2(x)s_2 + h_3(x)s_1^2]w^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Notice that if

$$|v(z)| = |t_1z + t_2z^2 + t_3z^3 + \dots| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$|u(w)| = |s_1w + s_2w^2 + s_3w^3 + \dots| < 1 \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

then

$$|t_i| \leq 1 \text{ and } |s_i| \leq 1 \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It follows from (30) and (31) that

$$(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)a_2 = h_2(x)t_1, \tag{32}$$

$$(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 11\alpha^2 + 2\alpha - 1)a_2^2 + (4\alpha^2 + 2)a_3 = h_2(x)t_2 + h_3(x)t_1^2, \tag{33}$$

$$-(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)a_2 = h_2(x)s_1 \tag{34}$$

and

$$(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 19\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 3)a_2^2 - (4\alpha^2 + 2)a_3 = h_2(x)s_2 + h_3(x)s_1^2. \tag{35}$$

From (32) and (34), we find that

$$t_1 = -s_1 \tag{36}$$

and

$$2(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2a_2^2 = [h_2(x)]^2(t_1^2 + s_1^2). \tag{37}$$

If we add (33) to (35), we get

$$(24\alpha^4 - 56\alpha^3 + 30\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2)a_2^2 = h_2(x)(t_2 + s_2) + h_3(x)(t_1^2 + s_1^2). \tag{38}$$

By using (37) in equation (38), we have

$$\left[(24\alpha^4 - 56\alpha^3 + 30\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2) - \frac{2(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2h_3(x)}{[h_2(x)]^2} \right] a_2^2 = h_2(x)(t_2 + s_2), \tag{39}$$

which yields

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|bx|\sqrt{|bx|}}{\sqrt{|[(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)b - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2p]bx^2 - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2kq|}}$$

Next, if we deduct (35) from (33), we obtain

$$4(2\alpha^2 + 1)(a_3 - a_2^2) = h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2) + h_3(x)(t_1^2 - s_1^2). \tag{40}$$

In view of (36) and (37), equation (40) becomes

$$a_3 = \frac{[h_2(x)]^2(t_1^2 + s_1^2)}{2(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2} + \frac{h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2)}{4(2\alpha^2 + 1)}.$$

Now, with the help of equation (3), we deduce that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{b^2x^2}{(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2} + \frac{|bx|}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)}.$$

Finally, by using (39) and (40) for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 - \mu a_2^2 &= \frac{h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2)}{4(2\alpha^2 + 1)} + \frac{[h_2(x)]^3(1 - \mu)(t_2 + s_2)}{(24\alpha^4 - 56\alpha^3 + 30\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2)[h_2(x)]^2 - 2(1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2h_3(x)} \\ &= \frac{h_2(x)}{2} \left[\left(\Psi(\mu, x) + \frac{1}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)} \right) t_2 + \left(\Psi(\mu, x) - \frac{1}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)} \right) s_2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi(\mu, x) = \frac{[h_2(x)]^2(1 - \mu)}{(12\alpha^4 - 28\alpha^3 + 15\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 1)[h_2(x)]^2 - (1 + 3\alpha - 2\alpha^2)^2h_3(x)}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|h_2(x)|}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)} & \text{if } 0 \leq |\Psi(\mu, x)| \leq \frac{1}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)} \\ |h_2(x)||\Psi(\mu, x)| & \text{if } |\Psi(\mu, x)| \geq \frac{1}{2(2\alpha^2 + 1)} \end{cases}$$

and with respect to (3), it evidently completes the proof of the theorem (2).

Remark 4 If we put $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem (2), we get the outcomes which were indicated by Srivastava et al. [9].

Coefficient bounds and Fekete–Szegő inequality for the class $\mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \gamma, x)$

Definition 3 A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \gamma, x)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, if the following conditions of subordination are satisfied:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\alpha)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right] < \Omega(x, z) + 1 - k \tag{41}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha w^3 g'''(w) + (1 + 2\alpha)w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\alpha w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)} - 1 \right] < \Omega(x, w) + 1 - k, \tag{42}$$

where the function $g = f^{-1}$ is indicated by (2) and k is real constant.

Theorem 3 Let the function $f \in \Sigma$ indicated by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \gamma, x)$. Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma||bx|\sqrt{|bx|}}{\sqrt{|[\gamma(2 + 4\alpha - 4\alpha^2)b - 4(1 + \alpha)^2p]bx^2 - 4(1 + \alpha)^2kq|}} \tag{43}$$



and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 b^2 x^2}{4(1+\alpha)^2} + \frac{|\gamma||bx|}{6(1+2\alpha)}, \tag{44}$$

and for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\gamma||bx|}{6(1+2\alpha)} \text{ if} \\ |\mu - 1| \leq \frac{||\gamma(1+2\alpha-2\alpha^2)b-2(1+\alpha)^2p|bx^2-2(1+\alpha)^2kq|}{3|\gamma|(1+2\alpha)b^2x^2} \\ \frac{|\gamma|^2|bx|^3|\mu-1|}{||\gamma(2+4\alpha-4\alpha^2)b-4(1+\alpha)^2p|bx^2-4(1+\alpha)^2kq|} \text{ if} \\ |\mu - 1| \geq \frac{||\gamma(1+2\alpha-2\alpha^2)b-2(1+\alpha)^2p|bx^2-2(1+\alpha)^2kq|}{3|\gamma|(1+2\alpha)b^2x^2}. \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{N}_\Sigma(\alpha, \gamma, x)$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there are two holomorphic function $v, u: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ indicated by

$$v(z) = t_1z + t_2z^2 + t_3z^3 + \dots \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$$u(w) = s_1w + s_2w^2 + s_3w^3 + \dots \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

with $v(0) = u(0) = 0, |v(z)| < 1$ and $|u(w)| < 1, z, w \in \Delta$, such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\alpha)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right] < \Omega(x, v(z)) + 1 - k$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha w^3 g'''(w) + (1 + 2\alpha)w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\alpha w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)} - 1 \right] < \Omega(x, u(w)) + 1 - k.$$

Or, in equivalent way,

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\alpha)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right] = 1 + h_1(x) - k + h_2(x)v(z) + h_3(x)[v(z)]^2 + \dots \tag{46}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha w^3 g'''(w) + (1 + 2\alpha)w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\alpha w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)} - 1 \right] = 1 + h_1(x) - k + h_2(x)u(w) + h_3(x)[u(w)]^2 + \dots \tag{47}$$

From (46) and (47), we get

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\alpha)z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right] = 1 + h_2(x)t_1z + [h_2(x)t_2 + h_3(x)t_1^2]z^2 + \dots \tag{48}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\frac{\alpha w^3 g'''(w) + (1 + 2\alpha)w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\alpha w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)} - 1 \right] = 1 + h_2(x)s_1w + [h_2(x)s_2 + h_3(x)s_1^2]w^2 + \dots \tag{49}$$

Notice that if

$$|v(z)| = |t_1z + t_2z^2 + t_3z^3 + \dots| < 1 \quad (z \in \Delta)$$



and

$$|u(w)| = |s_1w + s_2w^2 + s_3w^3 + \dots| < 1 \quad (w \in \Delta),$$

then

$$|t_i| \leq 1 \text{ and } |s_i| \leq 1 \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It follows from (48) and (49) that

$$\frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{\gamma} a_2 = h_2(x)t_1, \tag{50}$$

$$\frac{6(1 + 2\alpha)}{\gamma} a_3 - \frac{4(1 + \alpha)^2}{\gamma} a_2^2 = h_2(x)t_2 + h_3(x)t_1^2, \tag{51}$$

$$-\frac{2(1 + \alpha)}{\gamma} a_2 = h_2(x)s_1 \tag{52}$$

and

$$\frac{6(1 + 2\alpha)}{\gamma} (2a_2^2 - a_3) - \frac{4(1 + \alpha)^2}{\gamma} a_2^2 = h_2(x)s_2 + h_3(x)s_1^2. \tag{53}$$

From (50) and (52), we find that

$$t_1 = -s_1 \tag{54}$$

and

$$\frac{8(1 + \alpha)^2}{\gamma^2} a_2^2 = [h_2(x)]^2(t_1^2 + s_1^2). \tag{55}$$

If we add (51) to (53), we get

$$\frac{(4 + 8\alpha - 8\alpha^2)}{\gamma} a_2^2 = h_2(x)(t_2 + s_2) + h_3(x)(t_1^2 + s_1^2). \tag{56}$$

By using (55) in equation (56), we have

$$\left[\frac{(4 + 8\alpha - 8\alpha^2)}{\gamma} - \frac{8(1 + \alpha)^2 h_3(x)}{\gamma^2 [h_2(x)]^2} \right] a_2^2 = h_2(x)(t_2 + s_2), \tag{57}$$

which yields

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\gamma| |bx| \sqrt{|bx|}}{\sqrt{[|\gamma(2 + 4\alpha - 4\alpha^2)b - 4(1 + \alpha)^2p]bx^2 - 4(1 + \alpha)^2kq}}.$$

Next, if we deduct (53) from (51), we get

$$\frac{12(1 + 2\alpha)}{\gamma} (a_3 - a_2^2) = h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2) + h_3(x)(t_1^2 - s_1^2). \tag{58}$$

In view of (54) and (55), equation (58) becomes

$$a_3 = \frac{\gamma^2 [h_2(x)]^2 (t_1^2 + s_1^2)}{8(1 + \alpha)^2} + \frac{\gamma h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2)}{12(1 + 2\alpha)}.$$

Now, with the help of equation (3), we conclude that

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\gamma|^2 b^2 x^2}{4(1 + \alpha)^2} + \frac{|\gamma| |bx|}{6(1 + 2\alpha)}.$$

Finally, by using (57) and (58) for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\gamma h_2(x)(t_2 - s_2)}{12(1 + 2\alpha)} + \frac{\gamma^2 [h_2(x)]^3 (1 - \mu)(t_2 + s_2)}{\gamma(4 + 8\alpha - 8\alpha^2)[h_2(x)]^2 - 8(1 + \alpha)^2 h_3(x)}$$

$$= \frac{\gamma h_2(x)}{2} \left[\left(\Psi(\mu, x) + \frac{1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \right) t_2 + \left(\Psi(\mu, x) - \frac{1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \right) s_2 \right],$$

where

$$\Psi(\mu, x) = \frac{\gamma [h_2(x)]^2 (1 - \mu)}{\gamma(2 + 4\alpha - 4\alpha^2)[h_2(x)]^2 - 4(1 + \alpha)^2 h_3(x)}.$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{|\gamma| |h_2(x)|}{6(1+2\alpha)} & \text{if } 0 \leq |\Psi(\mu, x)| \leq \frac{1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \\ |\gamma| |h_2(x)| |\Psi(\mu, x)| & \text{if } |\Psi(\mu, x)| \geq \frac{1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \end{cases}$$

and with respect to (3), it evidently completes the proof of the theorem (3).

References

1. Abirami C, Magesh N, Yamini J. Initial bounds for certain classes of bi-univalent functions defined by Horadam polynomials. *Abstract and Applied Analysis*. 2020; Article ID 7391058, 8 pages.
2. Alamoush AG. On a subclass of bi-univalent functions associated to Horadam polynomials. *Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis*. 2020; 12(1): 58-65.
3. Bulut S. A new comprehensive subclass of analytic bi-close-to-convex function. *Turk. J. Math.* 2019; 43: 1414-1424.
4. Duren PL. *Univalent Functions*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, 1983.
5. Frasin BA, Aouf MK. New subclasses of bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2011; 24: 1569-1573.
6. Güney HÖ, Murugusundaramoorthy G, Sokól. Subclasses of bi-univalent functions related to shell-like curves connected with Fibonacci numbers. *Acta Univ. Sapient. Math.* 2018; 10(1):70-84.
7. Horadam AF, Mahon JM. Pell and Pell-Lucas polynomials. *Fibonacci Quart.* 1985; 23: 7-20.
8. Horzum T, Kocer EG. On some properties of Horadam polynomials. *Int. Math. Forum.* 2009; 4: 1243-1252.
9. Srivastava HM, Altinkaya Ş, Yalçın S. Certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.* 2019; 43: 1873-1879.
10. Srivastava HM, Mishra AK, Gochhayat P. Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 2010; 23: 1188-1192.
11. Wanas AK, Yalçın S. Horadam polynomials and their applications to new family of bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points. *Proyecciones Journal of Math.* 2021; 40(1): 107-116.