



SOME NEW PROPERTIES ON TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

1. Dr. L. Vijilius Helena Raj,

Associate Prof, Dept. of Mathematics New Horizon College Of Engineering, Marathahalli, Bangalore, India 560 103.

2. Dr. S. Pious Missier

Dr. S. Pious Missier, Associate professor Post Graduate and Research Department of Mathematics, V.O. Chidambaram College, Thoothukudi, Tamilnadu, India 628 008.

Abstract

In this journey, we are going to explore the "separation axioms" in greater detail. Separation axioms are one among the most common, important and interesting concepts in Topology. They can be used to define more restricted classes of topological spaces. We shall try to understand how these axioms are affected on subspaces, taking products, and looking at small open neighborhoods.

Key words: $gs\wedge T_0$, $gs\wedge T_1$, $gs\wedge T_2$, $gs\wedge Urysohn$; $gs\wedge$ - compact

Math.subject classification: 54D10, 54E45



Council for Innovative Research

Peer Review Research Publishing System

Journal: JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS

Vol .11, No.3

www.cirjam.com , editorjam@gmail.com



INTRODUCTION

A certain number of separation axioms for topological spaces are provided, all of which are good extensions of the topological (T_0) , (T_1) , or (T_2) spaces. All valid implications between the different axioms are studied and counterexamples are given for the non valid ones.

In this paper some axioms related to $gs\Lambda$ sets are established and some properties are examined. It highlights the definition and properties of some of the separation axioms like $gs\Lambda-T_i$, $i=0,1,2$ and their inter relationships with other known separation axioms are studied.

Let us define some separation axioms as follows

Definition:1

Let (X, τ) be a topological space

- [6] a subset A of space X is called λ -closed if $A = B \cap C$, where B is a Λ -set and C is a closed set.
- [12] a subset A of X is said to be a $gs\Lambda$ closed set if $Cl_\lambda(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$, where U is semi open in X .
- [13] a subset A of X is said to be a $M.gs\Lambda$ closed map ($M.gs\Lambda$ open map) if the image of each $gs\Lambda$ closed set ($gs\Lambda$ open set) in X is $gs\Lambda$ closed ($gs\Lambda$ open) in Y .

The complement of above closed sets is called its respective open sets.

The $gs\Lambda$ closure (respectively closure, λ closure) of a subset A of X denoted by $gs\Lambda Cl(A)$, $(Cl(A), Cl_\lambda(A))$ is the intersection of all $gs\Lambda$ closed sets (closed sets, λ closed sets) containing A .

The $gs\Lambda$ interior (respectively interior, λ interior) of a subset A of X denoted by $gs\Lambda Int(A)$, $(int(A), \lambda-int(A))$ is the union of all $gs\Lambda$ open sets (open sets, λ open sets) containing A .

Definition :2

A topological space X is said to be

- [8] λT_0 (resp $\lambda - T_1$) if for $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ there exist a λ -open set U of X containing x but not y or (resp and) a λ -open set V of X containing y but not x .
- [8] $\lambda-T_2$ if for $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ there exist a λ -open set U of X containing x and a λ -open set V of X containing y such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition :3

A topological space X is said to be

- $gs\Lambda-T_0$ (resp $gs\Lambda-T_1$) if for $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ there exist a $gs\Lambda$ open set U of X containing x but not y or (resp and) a $gs\Lambda$ open set V of X containing y but not x .
- $gs\Lambda T_2$ if for $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ there exist a $gs\Lambda$ open set U of X containing x and a $gs\Lambda$ open set V of X containing y such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.
- $gs\Lambda$ Urysohn if for $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$ there exist a $gs\Lambda$ open set U of X containing x and a $gs\Lambda$ open set V of X containing y such that $gs\Lambda Cl(U) \cap gs\Lambda Cl(V) = \emptyset$

Theorem :1

A space X is $gs\Lambda T_0$ if and only if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X , $gs\Lambda Cl(\{x\}) \neq gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$.

Proof:

Sufficiency:

Suppose that $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$ and $gs\Lambda Cl(\{x\}) \neq gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$.

Let $z \in gs\Lambda Cl(\{x\})$ and $z \notin gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$. We claim that $x \notin gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$.

For if $x \in gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$ then $gs\Lambda Cl(\{x\}) \subseteq gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$. This contradicts that

$z \notin gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$. Consequently $x \in gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})^c$, which is $gs\Lambda$ open to which y does not belong. Thus X is $gs\Lambda T_0$ space.

**Necessity:**

Let X be $gs\Lambda T_0$ space and $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then there exist a $gs\Lambda$ open set G containing x or y say x but not y . Then G^c is a $gs\Lambda$ closed set which does not contain x but contains y . Since $gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$ is the smallest $gs\Lambda$ closed set containing y , $gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\}) \subseteq G^c$ and so $x \notin gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$. Consequently $gs\Lambda Cl(\{x\}) \neq gs\Lambda Cl(\{y\})$.

Theorem:2

For a topological space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent:

1. (X, τ) is $gs\Lambda -T_2$.
2. If $x \in (X, \tau)$, then for each $y \neq x$, there is a $gs\Lambda$ Open set U containing x such that $y \notin gs\Lambda Cl(U)$.

Proof:

1 \rightarrow 2:

Let $x \in X$. Since (X, τ) is $gs\Lambda -T_2$ for each $y \neq x$, there exist a $gs\Lambda$ open sets A and B such that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Then $x \in A \subseteq X \setminus B$. Let $X \setminus B = F$. Since B is $gs\Lambda$ open set, F is $gs\Lambda$ closed set, where $x \in A \subseteq F$ and $y \notin F$. This implies $y \notin gs\Lambda Cl(A)$ / F is $gs\Lambda$ closed and $A \subseteq F = gs\Lambda Cl(A)$.

2 \rightarrow 1

Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$. By (2), there exist a $gs\Lambda$ open set U containing x such that $y \notin gs\Lambda Cl(U)$. Therefore $y \in X \setminus gs\Lambda Cl(U)$, where $X \setminus gs\Lambda Cl(U)$ is $gs\Lambda$ open set and $x \in X \setminus gs\Lambda Cl(U)$. Also $U \cap X \setminus gs\Lambda Cl(U) = \emptyset$. Hence (X, τ) is $gs\Lambda T_2$.

Theorem:3

If singletons of a space X are $gs\Lambda$ closed then X is $gs\Lambda T_1$.

Proof:

Let $x, y \in X$, with $x \neq y$. Now $x \neq y$ implies $y \in \{x\}^c$. Hence $\{x\}^c$ is a $gs\Lambda$ open set containing y but not x . Similarly $\{y\}^c$ is a $gs\Lambda$ open set containing x but not y . Thus X is $gs\Lambda -T_1$.

Theorem:4

A λT_1 space is $gs\Lambda -T_1$.

Proof:

A topological space X is λT_1 if and only if the singletons of X are λ closed sets which implies that singletons of X are $gs\Lambda$ closed sets. Thus by theorem-3 X is $gs\Lambda T_1$.

Remark:

As every open set is λ open and every λ open set is $gs\Lambda$ open set it is clear to observe that

1. $T_0 \rightarrow \lambda T_0 \rightarrow gs\Lambda T_0$
2. $T_1 \rightarrow \lambda T_1 \rightarrow gs\Lambda T_1$
3. $T_2 \rightarrow \lambda T_2 \rightarrow gs\Lambda T_2$

Reverse implication need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example:1

$(X, \tau) = \lambda O(X, \tau) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$

$gs\Lambda O(X, \tau) =$ discrete space of (X, τ) . It can be clearly observed that (X, τ) is $gs\Lambda T_0$, $gs\Lambda T_1$, $gs\Lambda T_2$, but not λT_0 , not λT_1 , not λT_2 and not T_0 , not T_1 , not T_2

Theorem:5

A $gs\Lambda$ Urysohn space is $gs\Lambda T_2$.

Proof:



Let x and y be two distinct points of Y . Since X is $gs\Lambda$ Urysohn there exist a $gs\Lambda$ open set U containing x , a $gs\Lambda$ open set V containing y such that $gs\Lambda Cl(U) \cap gs\Lambda Cl(V) = \emptyset$. Thus X is $gs\Lambda T_2$.

Remark:1

It is easy for the readers to observe from the definitions that

$gs\Lambda$ Urysohn $\rightarrow gs\Lambda T_2 \rightarrow gs\Lambda T_1 \rightarrow gs\Lambda T_0$.

Definition:4

A function $(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be

1. $gs\Lambda$ irresolute if for each $x \in X$ and each V in $gs\Lambda O(Y, f(x))$, there exists $U \in gs\Lambda O(X, x)$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$. Equivalently if the inverse image of each $gs\Lambda$ open set in Y is $gs\Lambda$ open in X
2. Quasi $gs\Lambda$ irresolute if for each $x \in X$ and each $V \in gs\Lambda O(Y, f(x))$, there exists $U \in gs\Lambda O(X, x)$ such that $f(U) \subseteq gs\Lambda Cl(V)$.

Theorem:6

Every $gs\Lambda$ irresolute function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is quasi $gs\Lambda$ irresolute.

Proof is very clear as for any set $V \subseteq gs\Lambda Cl(V)$.

Theorem:7

If Y is $gs\Lambda T_2$ and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $gs\Lambda$ irresolute injection then X is $gs\Lambda T_2$.

Proof:

Since f is injective, for any pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, $f(x) \neq f(y)$. As Y is $gs\Lambda T_2$ there exists U in $gs\Lambda O(Y, f(x))$ and V in $gs\Lambda O(Y, f(y))$ such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Hence $f^{-1}U \cap f^{-1}V = \emptyset$. Since f is $gs\Lambda$ irresolute, there exists $U_1 \in gs\Lambda O(X, x)$ and $V_1 \in gs\Lambda O(X, y)$ such that $f(U_1) \subseteq U$ and $f(V_1) \subseteq V$. It follows that $U_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ and $V_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Hence we get $U_1 \cap V_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset$. Thus X is $gs\Lambda T_2$.

Theorem:8

If Y is $gs\Lambda$ Urysohn and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is quasi $gs\Lambda$ irresolute injection then X is $gs\Lambda T_2$.

Proof:

Since f is injective, for any pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, $f(x) \neq f(y)$. As Y is $gs\Lambda$ Urysohn there exists $U \in gs\Lambda O(Y, f(x))$ and $V \in gs\Lambda O(Y, f(y))$ such that $gs\Lambda Cl(U) \cap gs\Lambda Cl(V) = \emptyset$. Hence $f^{-1}(gs\Lambda Cl(U)) \cap f^{-1}(gs\Lambda Cl(V)) = \emptyset$. Since f is quasi $gs\Lambda$ irresolute, there exists $U_1 \in gs\Lambda O(X, x)$ and $V_1 \in gs\Lambda O(X, y)$ such that $f(U_1) \subseteq gs\Lambda Cl(U)$ and $f(V_1) \subseteq gs\Lambda Cl(V)$.

It follows that $U_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(gs\Lambda Cl(U))$ and $V_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(gs\Lambda Cl(V))$.

Hence we get $U_1 \cap V_1 \subseteq f^{-1}(gs\Lambda Cl(U)) \cap f^{-1}(gs\Lambda Cl(V)) = \emptyset$. Thus X is $gs\Lambda T_2$.

On $gs\Lambda$ -Compactness

Definition:5

A Collection $\{A_i : i \in \Omega\}$ of $gs\Lambda$ - open sets in a topological space X is called a $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of a subset S of X if $S \subseteq \cup\{A_i : i \in \Omega\}$ holds.

Definition:6

A topological space X is said to be $gs\Lambda$ - Compact if every $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of X has a finite sub cover.

Definition:7

A subset S of a topological space X is said to be $gs\Lambda$ - Compact relative to X , if for every collection $\{A_i : i \in \Omega\}$ of $gs\Lambda$ - open subsets of X such that $S \subseteq \cup\{A_i : i \in \Omega\}$ there exists a finite $gs\Lambda$ - open subsets Ω_0 of Ω such that $S \subseteq \cup\{A_i : i \in \Omega_0\}$.

Definition:8

A subset S of a topological space X is said to be $gs\Lambda$ - compact if S is $gs\Lambda$ -compact as a subspace of X .

**Theorem:9**

A $gs\Lambda$ - closed subset of a $gs\Lambda$ -compact space X is $gs\Lambda$ - compact relative to X .

Proof:

Let A be a $gs\Lambda$ - closed subset of a $gs\Lambda$ - compact space X . Then $X - A$ is $gs\Lambda$ - open. Let Ω be a $gs\Lambda$ -open cover for A . Then $\{\Omega, (X-A)\}$ is a $gs\Lambda$ - open cover for X . Since X is $gs\Lambda$ - compact, it has a finite sub cover say $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, \dots, P_n\} = \Omega_1$. If $X - A \notin \Omega_1$ then Ω_1 is a finite sub cover of A . If $(X - A) \in \Omega_1$, then $\Omega_1 - (X - A)$ is a sub cover of A . Thus A is $gs\Lambda$ -compact relative to X .

Theorem:10

For a topological space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent:

- 1) (X, τ) is $gs\Lambda$ - Compact.
- 2) Every proper $gs\Lambda$ -closed set is $gs\Lambda$ - compact relative to X .

Proof:

(1) \rightarrow (2): follows from Theorem 6.2.5

(2) \rightarrow (1):

Let $\{V\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ be a $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of X . Then $X \subseteq \cup \{V\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$. We choose and fix $\alpha_0 \in I$.

Then $X - V\alpha_0$ is a proper $gs\Lambda$ -closed subset of X and $X - V\alpha_0 \subseteq \cup \{V\alpha : \alpha \in I - \alpha_0\}$. Therefore

$\{V\alpha : \alpha \in I - \alpha_0\}$ is a $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of $X - V\alpha_0$. By hypothesis, there exist a finite subset I_0 of $I - \alpha_0$ such that $X - V\alpha_0 \subseteq \cup \{V\alpha : \alpha \in I_0\}$.

Therefore $X \subseteq \cup \{V\alpha : \alpha \in I_0 \cup \{\alpha_0\}\}$. Hence X is $gs\Lambda$ - Compact.

Theorem:11

Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a surjective, $gs\Lambda$ - continuous map. If X is $gs\Lambda$ - compact then Y is compact.

Proof:

Let $\{A_i : i \in I\}$ be an open cover of Y . Then $f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in I$ is a $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of X . Since X is $gs\Lambda$ - compact, it has a finite sub cover say $f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \dots, f^{-1}(A_n)$. Since f is surjective $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n$ is an open cover of Y . Thus Y is compact.

Theorem:12

Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a surjective, $gs\Lambda$ - irresolute map. If X is $gs\Lambda$ - compact then Y is $gs\Lambda$ - compact.

Proof:

Let $A_i : i \in \Omega$ be a $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of Y . Then $f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in \Omega$ is a $gs\Lambda$ -open cover of X as f is $gs\Lambda$ - irresolute. Since X is $gs\Lambda$ - compact, it has a finite sub cover say $f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \dots, f^{-1}(A_n)$. Since f is surjective $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n\}$ is an $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of Y . Thus Y is $gs\Lambda$ - compact.

Theorem:13

If a map $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $gs\Lambda$ - irresolute map and a subset S of X is $gs\Lambda$ - compact relative to X , then the image $f(S)$ is $gs\Lambda$ - compact relative to Y .

Proof:

Let $A_i : i \in \Omega$ be a collection of $gs\Lambda$ - open sets in Y such that $f(S) \subseteq \cup \{A_i : i \in \Omega\}$. Then $S \subseteq \{f^{-1}(A_i) : i \in \Omega\}$, where $f^{-1}(A_i)$ is a $gs\Lambda$ - open cover of X for each i . Since S is $gs\Lambda$ - compact relative to X , there exist a finite sub collection $f^{-1}(A_1), f^{-1}(A_2), \dots, f^{-1}(A_n)$ such that $S \subseteq \cup \{f^{-1}(A_i), i=1$ to $n\}$. That is $f(S) \subseteq \cup \{A_i : i=1$ to $n\}$. Hence $f(S)$ is $gs\Lambda$ - compact relative to Y .

REFERENCES

1. **Arya.S.P and Gupta.R**, On strongly continuous mappings, *Kyungpook Math.J.*,(1974), 131-143
2. Francisco G Arenas, J. Dontchev and Maxmillian Ganster, On λ -sets and the dual of generalized continuity, *Question answers GEN.Topology* 15(1997) 3-13.



3. J.Dontchev , contra-continuous functions and S-closed spaces, *Int.J.Math.Math.Sci* **19 (1996)**, 303-310.
4. J.Dontchev and T. Noiri, contra-semicontinuous functions, *Math Pannonica* **10 (1999)**, 159-168.
5. M.Caldas, S.Jafari and T.Noiri ,On Λ -generalised closed sets in topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar* ,**118(4)(2008)**,337-343.
6. M.Caldas,and Jafari.S, On some low separation axioms via λ open and λ closure operator. *Rend.Circ.Mat.Di.Palermo*, **54,2 (2005)**,195-208
7. M.Caldas,Ekici,S.Jafari and T.Noiri, On the class of contra λ continuous functions. *Ann.Univ.Sci.Budapest Sec Math*, **49(2006)**,75-86.
8. M.Caldas,S.Jafari and T.Navalgi, More on λ -closed sets in topological spaces, *Revista Colombina de Mathematics*,Vol **41 (2007)** 2,355-369
9. M.K.R.S.VeeraKumar, gs- Closed Sets in topological space, *Mem.Fac, Sci.Kohi Univ.(Math)*,**21(2000)**, 1-19
10. P.Sundaram,H.Maki and K.Balachandran, Semi-Generalised Continuous Maps and Semi- $T_{1/2}$ spaces, *Bulletine of Fukuoka University of Education*,vol,**40**,part III, 33-40(1991).
11. R.Devi,H.Maki and K.Balachandran, semi generalized closed maps and generalized semi – closed maps, *Mem.Fac.Kochi.Univ.ser.A(Math)*,**14(1993)**
12. S.P.Missier,Vijilius H Raj -gs Λ closed sets in topological spaces, *International journal of General Topology* Vol. **5**, Nos 1-2 January-December2012, pp. 33-44,0973-6471.
13. Vijilius H Raj, S.P.Missier -gs Λ closed and open functions in Topological space, *South Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 2012,Vol.2:(5)527-539.20

