

Characteristic analysis of De-Ionized water and Ethylene Glycol based Aluminum Oxide Nanofluid

Vijayan Gopalsamy, Karunakaran Rajasekaran Assistant Professor / Mechanical Engg, KSK College of Engg. & Tech., Tamilnadu-612 001, India viji_laker@yahoo.co.in Associate Professor / Mechanical Engg, University College of Engineering, Tamilnadu, India karuna30722@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Abstract - In this work, the characteristics of nanofluids is analyzed. Both the de-ionized water (DI) and ethylene glycol (EG) is used as a base fluid and aluminum oxide (alumina) is a nanoparticle. An equal quantity of base fluids is taken into account for preparing Al₂O₃ nanofluid at various weight fractions. The various weight concentrations of nanofluid are 0.125, 0.250, 0.375, 0.50, and 0.625% weight fractions are taken for the experimental work. This nanofluid is prepared using magnetic stirrer method and ultrasonic method. Various experiments are conducted to determine the thermophysical properties such as thermal conductivity, kinematic viscosity, dynamic viscosity, relative viscosity, specific gravity, specific heat, sedimentation, and density. The comparison is done to evaluate the effectiveness of the nanofluid preparation technique. No dispersant is used to disperse completely.

Keywords

Ultrasonic technique, Magnetic stirrer technique, Nanofluid, Concentration, De-Ionized water, Aluminum Oxide

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In most of the industrial applications such as engine cooling and heat exchanger to transfer thermal energy used in the solar collector or refrigeration system, the thermal conductivity of the heat conducting medium such as aqueous solution and ethylene glycol attend a vital role in the efficient transfer of heat energy. The heat transfer capability of this transfer fluid can be improved and is an important one to enhance the heat transfer efficiency of the fluid, in the view of saving the energy. The reason for low heat transfer of the fluid is, the thermal conduction property of the fluid. Normally liquid is having low thermal conductivity. As per the law of thermal conduction, increase in thermal conductivity will improve the thermal transfer rate. This can be achieved by combining the heat transport properties of the liquid with the high thermal conductivity of a metal. This combined system includes uniform mixing of the high thermal conductivity of metal particles in high transport fluid, which is known as nanofluid. The particles are to be in the range of 1 to 100 nm.

1.1 Preparation of Nanofluid

Based on the result obtained from the research towards the development of industrial heat transfer showed the lacking in heat transfer improvement due to the application of fluids having low heat transfer properties. This can be overcome by two ways 1. Increasing the surface area of heat transfer system 2. Increasing the thermal conductivity of heat transfer fluid. The first option is impractical or not possible at most of the time because of the geometrical improvement. Nanofluid is the best option for improved heat transfer. Nanofluid can be synthesized by two-step method or one-step method. In two-step method, the nanoparticle is fabricated and then dispersed into conventional heat transfer fluid. Ultrasonicator, magnetic stirrer, and mechanical mixer are the some of the equipment used for preparing the nanofluid under two-step method. In one step method, both the particle fabrication and nanofluid preparation are taking place simultaneously, using various techniques like chemical reduction, direct evaporation, laser irradiation, microwave and thermal decomposition. The nanofluids were prepared by ultrasonic and magnetic technique at various weight fractions for the purpose of conducting the experiments. The result obtained from the experiments is used to evaluate the preparation technique.

2.0 INFLUENCING PARAMETERS

The thermal conductivity of nanofluid enhancement is influenced by various parameters such as particle volume fraction, particle material, base fluid, particle size, particle shape, temperature, pH value, and clustering. All these parameters are having certain level of effect baded on the nature of nano particle and basefluid. Thermal conductivity is measured by the different method such as transient hot-wire method [1-4], steady-state parallel plate technique, temperature oscilloscope method, micro hot strip method, and optical beam deflection [5-8]. Many researchers worked and achieved the beneficial result for thermal conductivity enhancement using various techniques.

2.1 Particle Volume Fraction

The first experimental work carried out [9] for measuring the thermal conductivity of nanofluids containing aluminum oxide, silver dioxide, titanium dioxide of particular size nanoparticles. They used water as a base fluid and undertake two-step method for nanofluid preparation. Thermal conductivity enhancement of 32.4% for the volume fraction of 4.3%. It was observed that the thermal conductivity enhancement is parallel to volume fraction. The experimental study of the thermal conductivity Al₂O₃ and CuO(copper oxide) [9-11], MWCNT(multiwall carbon nanotube)[12] and TiO₂(titanium oxide) [13]



based nanofluid. The relationship between thermal conductivity and the particle volume fraction was linear. Sometimes it was nonlinear, due to low volume concentration. This nonlinear relationship is showed the insufficient interaction between particles and fluid.

2.2 Particle Material

The result showed that the particular material had an influence in thermal conductivity enhancement. Thermal conductivity study of [10] of Al₂O₃ and CuO based nanofluid and it was found CuO provided good result than Al₂O₃. Clustering character of Al₂O₃ is higher than CuO, which resulted in the reduced thermal conductivity, due to a reduced surface area. They [14] considered the thermal conductivity of Al₂Cu and Ag₂Al nanoparticle dispersed into water and EG. 1% volume fraction of oleic acid was used as a dispersant. The Ag₂Al based nanofluid showed little higher thermal conductivity than Al₂Cu. They [12] discussed the oil (synthetic poly oil) based nanofluid where MWCNT used as nanoparticles for thermal conductivity measurement. It was compared CNT nanofluids such as DWCNT and MWCNT. CTAB and nanosphere AQ were used as a surfactant. The thermal conductivity of MWCNT was somewhat higher than DWCNT, for 0.6% MWCNT produced 34% enhancement, where for 0.75% fraction, DWCNT availed only 3% enhancement.

2.3 Base fluid

According to all theoretical thermal conductivity model, thermal conductivity ratio is increased with the decrease in thermal conductivity of base fluid. Influence of base fluid on thermal conductivity of nanofluid depends on the viscosity and Browninan motion of the particle[15]. It was examined that the electric double layer effect[16] around the particles on thermal conductivity. Finalizing the effect of base fluid on thermal conductivity is a complex one. Experimental work validated the merely hopeful results. Some of the researchers carried out the experimental work to prove the influence of base fluid on thermal conductivity. The Al₂O₃ and CuO nanofluid were prepared [5] using several base fluid such as water, EG, pump oil and engine oil. Al₂O₃/water nanofluid showed a better result than Al₂O₃/EG in terms of thermal conductivity ratio. Engine oil and pump oil as the base fluid for different concentrations. They studied EG and synthetic poly oil [19] water and EG [14] based nanofluid for reviewing the influence on thermal conductivity.

2.4 Particle size

Nanoparticle size is also one of the influencing factors on the thermal conductivity. They studied the CuO₃ nanofluid [20] dispersed in EG by one step method and obtained [10] 20% enhancement in thermal conductivity for 23.6 nm CuO₃/EG mixture nanofluid and. They [21] Prepared $AI_{70}Cu_{30}$ nanofluid using EG as the base fluid. Increase in particle size decreased the thermal conductivity enhancement. For 0.5% volume fraction of nanofluid, the thermal conductivity enhancement was decreased from 38% to 3% when increasing the particle size from 9 to 83nm. It was investigated the effect of the particle size such as AI_2Cu and Ag_2AI [14] particle dispersed into water and EG, AI_2O_3 /water and AI_2O_3 /eg[22] particle size vary from 30-120nm for AI_2Cu and Ag_2AI ,8 and 282 nm for AI_2O_3 . They [23,24] measured the thermal conductivity of AI_2O_3 nanofluid and compare with [25-27] which showed that there was not much difference in the thermal conductivity of the particle size 80,38.4 and 47nm.

2.5 Particle shape

Spherical and cylindrical is the two types of nanoparticle geometry. The major quantity of research work was carried out on cylindrical geometry nanoparticles, which has the higher length to diameter ratio. They [28] proved experimentally that the cylindrical shape nanoparticle produced a good result than spherical type nanoparticles. SiO nanoparticle dispersed into distilled water and EG. Both the cylindrical and spherical shape nanoparticle of 60 and 26nm. Spherical type nanoparticle of 4.2% volume fraction produced 15.8% thermal conductivity enhancement where for 60nm cylindrical nanoparticle exhibits 23% enhancement. The result obtained [34] was compared with Hamilton-Crosser [29] model, which showed the good relationship. For TiO_2 and di water nanofluid, the spherical shape produced only 29.7% and 33%, for cylindrical type nanoparticles of same volume fraction.

2.6 Temperature

In a liquid and solid particles (millimeter or micrometer) mixture, the thermal conductivity of mixture depends on temperature only, but in the case of nanofluid (solid particle size is in the range of 1-100nm), the thermal conductivity depends on Brownian motion and clustering of particles which in turn depends on temperature[30]. They discussed the thermal conductivity of Al_2O_3 , SiO_2 and TiO_2 [9,31] nanofluid, Al_2O_3 and CuO [26,32] at a different temperature range from 21°c and 51°C. Thermal conductivity was enhanced 2% at 21°C to 10.8% at 51°C for same volume concentration of 1%. There was a linear relationship between thermal conductivity ratio and temperature. As per the parameter comparison, the particle volume fraction dependence on thermal conductivity is higher than temperature dependence. Some other research works were also carried out by researcher [33-36].

2.7 Clustering

Clustering problem is always present in the nanofluid, but not arise in the case of a solid-fluid mixture of micro or millimeter size nanoparticle. Effect of clustering of Fe [36], Fe₃O₄[37] nanoparticle was analyzed, which depends upon ultrasonic vibration time duration. It may vary from 0 to several hours. Thermal conductivity ratio increased with increased ultrasonication time. But the rate of this increased become slow for longer vibration.



2.8 pH value

Very limited work was carried out to observe the effect of pH value on thermal conductivity when compared with other parameters. The thermal conductivity ratio is decreased with an increased pH value of Al_2O_3 nanofluid while using water, EG and pump oil as base fluid [38]. Al_2O_3 -aqueous solution of 5% volume fraction showed the thermal conductivity enhancement 23% against the pH value 2.0, whereas, for the pH value of 11.5, the thermal conductivity enhancement became 19% for the same volume fraction. Effect of pH value of CuO /water and Al_2O_3 /water was [39] investigated where sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate was used as a surfactant. The optimum thermal conductivity was observed for the pH value of 8 (Al_2O_3) and 9.5 (CuO). For the case of TiO₂/water nanofluid [40] the thermal conductivity was decreased with increasing pH value.

3.0 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In the present work, the Al₂O₃/DI water+EG nanofluid is synthesized by ultrasonication and magnetic stirrer technique at various weight fraction such as 0.125%, 0.250%, 0.375%, 0.50% and 0.625%. To compare and evaluate the characters of nanofluid prepared is used both the technique, first, the parameters are to find out by various apparatus. The various parameters include density, specific gravity, kinematic viscosity, dynamic viscosity, relative viscosity, specific heat, sedimentation and thermal conductivity, which has an influence on thermal conductivity.

Estimation of Al_2O_3 nanopartIcles for the defined concentration was calculated using a digital weighing machine. Fig. 1 and 2 shows the raw alumina nanoparticles and scanning electron microscope (SEM). For both the nanofluid preparation, the corresponding calculated nanoparticle is mixed well with a mechanical stirrer. Two batches of Al_2O_3 based nanofluid is prepared, one by ultrasonic bath and another for the magnetic stirrer. Fig.3 and 4 show the ultrasonic bath cleaner and magnetic stirrer instruments used for the preparing the nanofluid.





Fig 1: Photographic image nanoparticles

Fig 2: SEM image of nanoparticles



Fig 3: Ultrasonic bath



Fig 4: Magnetic Stirrer



Fig. 5 and 6 show the DI water+EG based alumina nanofluid at five weight concentration from 0.125 to 0.625 sample and samples for sedimentation test to understand the effectiveness of mixing. Fig. 7 shows the test set up to determine the density and specific gravity of nanofluids. Fig.8 indicates ostwald's viscometer for measuring the viscosity. Fig 9 pH value paper strip and the corresponding samples. From fig.10,11 and 12 are showing the status and trends relative viscosity, specific gravity and thermal conductivity. Viscosity is increased from 0.713 at 0.125% to 0.823 at 0.375%. Specific gravity and thermal conductivity also are increased parallel with density and particle concentration. But specific heat is gradually decreased with increase in concentration means, it requires less quantity of heat for increasing temperature, which will lead the convective heat transfer. There is a little increment in the thermal conductivity from 0.403 to 0.409 at 0.125 to 0.625. Subsequently, enhancement of thermal conductivity happens at just 1.5%. There is a little improvement in the specific gravity from 1.156 to 1.178. Five concentrations have the same result at 7.0 in the case pH value. Specific heat is lightly decreased because the addition of nanoparticle and it is decreased in the range of 3.277 to 3.265. There is a slight increment in the density from 1.156 to1.178Kg/m³. Enhancement of the density will increase because the adding of the nanoparticle.



Fig 5: Alumina and De-ionized water nanofluid



Fig 6: Sedimentation



Fig 7: Density and Specific gravity



ISSN 2321-807X Volume 13 Number3 Jounalof Advances in Chemistry





Fig 8: Viscosity test

Fig 9`: pH value







CONCLUSION

Nanofluid is a dilute colloidal suspension with nano-sized particles. It is prepared by dispersing the nanoparticles in the base fluids (DI and EG). Nanofluid of different concentrations (0.125%, 0.25%, 0.375% and 0.5% and 0.625%) are prepared using EG and DI water as base fluid. Ultrasonic and magnetic stirrer technique is used for each set of samples. One set of samples is sonicated for one-hour duration and another set of samples is prepared by magnetical stirrer technique. All results are concluded on the basis of the experiments performed for measuring the thermophysical properties like Viscosity, Density, Specific heat, pH value, Specific gravity and Thermal conductivity.

1. Viscosity and specific gravity of nanofluids are measured by Ostwald's viscometer and specific gravity bottle respectively. From the experimental results, it is observed that the increases in concentration automatically leads to the improvement in specific gravity and viscosity at atmospheric pressure and temperature condition.

2. The thermal conductivity and specific heat of nanofluid can be measured by thermal property analyzer. The experimental results show that the enhancement of thermal conductivity of EG and DI water based Al_2O_3 at constant atmospheric temperature. The results indicate that the particle volume fraction is also an important parameter for nanofluids. Increases in particle volume concentration, is also increased the thermal conductivity of nanofluid.

3. It has been found that specific heat of nanofluid decreases with increasing nanoparticle volume fraction.

4. The pH value is measured by digitalized pH meter and it is in the range of 7. As for pH value, there is no significant change between in both the method.

5. Due to the increase in concentration of the nanofluid, the thermal conductivity, density, specific gravity of the nanofluid are increased and specific heat is decreased. And also the viscosity is decreased at certain level of concentration and then improved at high concentration.

6. Density is increased gradually along with an increase in particle concentration. This is because of particle addition in base fluids.

7. In magnetic stirrer method, the maximum increase in relative viscosity is 9%. This is due to poor mixing of nanoparticle with base fluids.

8. As per the specific gravity parameter trend, ultrasonic technique is effective in the range of 0.87% to 1.61% than magnetic stirrer technique.

9. As per the thermal conductivity point of view, the ultrasonic technique is good in the range of 0.372% to 0.911%.

SCOPE OF FUTURE WORK

The study on nanofluid is still in its infancy status. The mechanism of thermal conductivity occur in nanofluid is still unclear. The influences of the factor such as particles size, particles shape, size distribution, additives, and particle/fluid interfacial properties on the heat transfer are unclear. There exist wide discrepancies and inconsistencies in reported data of nanofluids. Hence more research should be carried out for characterization of different kind of nanofluid and its application.

NOMENCLATURE

SWCNT	Single Wall Carbon NanoTube
DWCNT	Double Wall Carbon NanoTube
MWCNT	Multi-Wall Carbon NanoTube
EG	Ethylene Glycol
DI	De-Ionized
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
U	Ultrasonic technique
Μ	Magnetic stirrer technique
Al ₂ O ₃	Aluminum Oxide or Alumina
TiO ₂	Titamium Oxide
SiO ₂	Silicon dioxide
CuO	Copper Oxide



REFERENCES

- 1. Hong, T.K., Yang, H.S. and Choi, C.J. 2005. Study of the enhanced thermal conductivity of Fe nanofluids. Journal of Applied Physics, 97(6):1
- 2. Ding, Y., Alias, H., Wen, D. and Williams, R.A. 2006. Heat transfer of aqueous suspensions of CNT nanotubes. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 49(1-2): 240-250.
- 3. Zhu, H.T., Zhang, C.Y., Tang, Y.M. and Wang, J.X. 2007. Novel synthesis and thermal conductivity of CuO nanofluid. Journal of Physical Chemistry, 111(4):1646-1650
- 4. Beck, M.P., Yuan, Y., Warrier, P. and Teja, A.S. 2009. The effect of particle size on the thermal conductivity of alumina nanofluids. Journal of Nanoparticles, 11(5): 1136
- 5. Wang, X., Xu, X., and Choi, S.U.S. 1999. Thermal conductivity of nanoparticle-fluid mixture. Thermophysical Heat Transfer, 13(4): 474-480
- 6. Czarnetzki, W. and Roetzel, W. 1995. Temperature oscillation techniques for simultaneous measurement of thermal diffusivity and conductivity. International Journal of Thermophysics, 16(2):413-422
- 7. Ju, Y.S., Kim, J. and Hung, M.T. 2008. Experimental study of heat conduction in aqueous suspensions of aluminum oxide nanoparticles. Journal of Heat Transfer, 130(9): 092403
- 8. Putnam, S.A., Cahill, D.G., Braun, P.V., Ge, Z. and Shimmin, R.G. 2006. Thermal conductivity of nanoparticles suspensions. Journal of Applied Physics, 99(8): 084308
- 9. Masuda, H., Ebata, A., Teramae, K. and Hishinuma, N. 1993. Alteration of thermal conductivity and viscosity of liquid by dispersing-Al₂O₃,SiO₂ and TiO₂ ultra-fine particles. Netsu Bussei, 4(4): 233-227
- 10. Lee, S., Choi, SUS., Li, S. and Eastman, J.A. 1999. Measuring thermal conductivity of fluids containing oxide nanoparticles. ASME Journal of Heat Transfer, 121(2): 280-288
- 11. Wang, X.Q. and Mujumdar, A.S. 2007. Heat transfer characteristics of nanofluids: a review. International Journal of Thermal Science, 46(1):1-19
- 12. Choi, SUS., Zhang, Z.G., Yu, W., Lockwood, F.E. and Grulke, E.A. 2001. Anomalous thermal conductivity enhancement in nanotube suspensions. Applied Physics Letters 79(14): 2252-2254
- 13. Murshed, S.M.S., Leong, K.C. and Yang, C. 2005. Enhanced thermal conductivity of TiO₂-water based nanofluids. International Journal of Thermal Science, 44(4): 367–373
- 14. Chopkar, M., Sudarshan, S., Das, P.K. and Manna, I. 2008. Effect of particle size on thermal conductivity of nanofluid. Metallurgical Materials Transaction, 39(7): 1535–1542
- 15. Xuan, Y., Li, Q. and Hu, W. 2003. Aggregation structure and thermal conductivity of nanofluids. AIChE Journal, 49(4): 1038-1043
- 16. Lee, D. 2007. Thermophysical properties of interfacial layer in nanofluids. Langmuir, 23(11): 6011-6018
- 17. Xie, H., Wang, J., Xi, T., Liu, Y. and Ai, F. 2002. Dependence of the thermal conductivity of nanoparticle–fluid mixture on the base fluid. Journal of Material Science Letter, 21(19): 1469-1471
- 18. Hasselman, D.P.H. and Johnson, L.F. 1987. Effective thermal conductivity of composites with interfacial thermal barrier resistance. Journal of Composite Materials, 21(6): 508-515
- 19. Liu, M.S., Lin, MC-C., Huang, I-T. and Wang, C-C. 2005. Enhancement of thermal conductivity with carbon nanotube for nanofluids. International communication of heat and mass transfer 32(9): 1202-1210
- Eastman, J.A., Choi, SUS., Li, S., Yu, W. and Thompson, L.J. 2001. Anomalously increased effective thermal conductivities of ethylene glycol-based nanofluids containing copper nanoparticles. Applied Physics Letters, 78(6): 718-720
- 21. Chopkar, M., Das, P.K. and Manna, I. 2006. Synthesis and characterization of nanofluid for advanced heat transfer applications. Scripta Materials, 55(6):549–552
- 22. Beck, M.P., Yuan, Y., Warrier, P. and Teja, A.S. 2009. The effect of particle size on the thermal conductivity of alumina nanofluids. Journal of Nanoparticles Research, 11(5): 1129 -1136
- 23. Mintsa, H.A., Roy, G., Nguyen, C.T. and Doucet, D. 2009. New temperature dependent thermal conductivity data for water-based nanofluids. International Journal of Thermal Science, 48(2): 363-371
- 24. Chon, C.H. and Kihm, K.D. 2005. Thermal conductivity enhancement of nanofluids by Brownian motion. ASME Journal of Heat Transfer, 127(8): 810
- 25. Murshed, S.M.S., Leong, K.C. and Yang, C. 2008. Investigations of thermal conductivity and viscosity of nanofluids. International Journal of Thermal Science, 47(5): 560-568



- 26. Das, S.K., Putta, N., Thiesen, P. and Roetzel, W. 2003. Temperature dependence of thermal conductivity enhancement for nanofluids. Journal of Heat Transfer, (125): 567- 574.
- 27. Chon, CH., Kihm., Lee, S.P. and Choi, SUS. 2005. Empirical correlation finding the role of temperature and particle for nanolfuid (Al2O3) thermal conductivity enhancement. Applied Physics letters 87(15): 153107
- 28. Xie, H., Wang, J., Xi, T. and Liu, Y. 2002. Thermal conductivity of suspensions containing nanosized SiC particles. International Journal of Thermophysics, 23(2): 571-580
- 29. Hamilton, R.L. and Crosser, O.K. 1962. Thermal conductivity of heterogeneous two component systems. Industrial Engineering Chemical Fundamentals,1(3):187-191
- Li, C.H., Williams, W., Buongiorno, J., Hu, LW. and Peterson, G.P. 2008. Transient and steady-state experimental comparison study of effective thermal conductivity of Al₂O₃/Water nanofluids. Journal of Heat Transfer, 130(4): 042407
- 31. Turgut, A., Tavman, I.C., Hirtoc, M., Schuchmann, HP., Sauter, C. and Tavmann, S. 2009. Thermal conductivity and viscosity measurements of water based TiO2 nanofluid. International Journal of Thermophysics:1-14
- 32. LiCH. and Peterson, G.P. 2006. Experimental investigation often temperature and volume fraction variations on the effective thermal conductivity. Journal of Applied Physics, 99(8):1-8
- Zhang, X., Gu, H. and Fujii, M. 2006. Effective thermal conductivity and thermal diffusity of nanofluids containing spherical and cylindrical nanoparticles . Journal of Applied Physics, 100(4):1-5
- 34. Zhang, X., Gu, H. and Fujii, M. 2006. Experimental study on the effective thermal conductivity and thermal diffusity of nanofluid. International Journal of Thermophysics, 27(2): 569-580
- 35. Roy, G., Nguyen, C.T., Doucet, D., Suiro, S. and Mare, T. 2006. Temperature dependent thermal conductivity of aluminum based nanofluids. Proceedings of 13 th International Heat Transfer Conference.
- 36. Hong, KS., Hong, T-K and Yang, H-S. 2006. Thermal conductivity of Fe nanofluids depending on the cluster size of nanoparticle. Applied physics letters, 88(3):1-3
- 37. Zhu, H., Zhang, C., Liu, S., Tang, Y. and Yin, Y. 2006. Effects of nanoparticle clustering and alignment on thermal conductivities of Fe₃O₄ aqueous nanofluids. Applied Physics Letter, 89(2): 1-3
- 38. Xie, H., Wang, J., Xi, T., Liu, Y., Ai, F. and Wu, Q. 2002. Thermal conductivity enhancement of suspensions containing nanosized alumina particles. Journal of Applied Physics, 91(7): 4568-4572
- 39. Wang, X., Zhu, D. and Yang, S. 2009. Investigation of pH and SDBS on enhancement of thermal conductivity in nanofluids. Chemical Physics Letters, 470(1-3): 107-111
- 40. Murshed, S.M.S., Leong, K.C. and Yang, C. 2008. Characterization of electro-kinetic properties of nanofluids. Journal Nanoscience-Nanotechnology, 8(11): 5966-5971